	Alt	Bar	Fri	Hun	Pat	Price	Rain	Res	Type	Est	W
\mathbf{x}_1	Yes	No	No	Yes	Some	\$\$\$	No	Yes	French	0-10	1 24
\mathbf{x}_2	Yes	No	No	Yes	Full	\$	No	No	Thai	30-60	y_1
X 3	No	Yes	No	No	Some	\$	No	No	Burger	0-10	y_2
\mathbf{x}_4	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Full	\$	Yes	No	Thai	10-30	y_3
X ₅	Yes	No	Yes	No	Full	\$\$\$	No	Yes	French	>60	y_4
x ₆	No	Yes	No	Yes	Some	\$\$	Yes	Yes	Italian	0-10	y_5
X ₇	No	Yes	No	No	None	\$	Yes	No	Burger	0-10	y_6 y_7
x ₈	No	No	No	Yes	Some	\$\$	Yes	Yes	Thai	0-10	$\begin{vmatrix} y_7 \\ y_8 \end{vmatrix}$
X 9	No	Yes	Yes	No	Full	\$	Yes	No	Burger	>60	y_9
\mathbf{x}_{10}	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Full	\$\$\$	No	Yes	Italian	10-30	
\mathbf{x}_{11}	No	No	No	No	None	\$	No	No	Thai	0-10	y_{10}
X ₁₂	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Full	\$	No	No	Burger	30–60	$\begin{vmatrix} y_{11} \\ y_{12} \end{vmatrix}$

Figure 18.3 Examples for the restaurant domain.

is shown in Figure 18.3. The positive examples are the ones in which the goal WillWa true $(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_3, \ldots)$; the negative examples are the ones in which it is false $(\mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_5, \ldots)$.

We want a tree that is consistent with the examples and is as small as possible. fortunately, no matter how we measure size, it is an intractable problem to find the smal consistent tree; there is no way to efficiently search through the 2^{2^n} trees. With some sin heuristics, however, we can find a good approximate solution: a small (but not smallest) a sistent tree. The DECISION-TREE-LEARNING algorithm adopts a greedy divide-and-cond strategy: always test the most important attribute first. This test divides the problem up is smaller subproblems that can then be solved recursively. By "most important attribute," mean the one that makes the most difference to the classification of an example. That way, hope to get to the correct classification with a small number of tests, meaning that all path the tree will be short and the tree as a whole will be shallow.

Figure 18.4(a) shows that *Type* is a poor attribute, because it leaves us with four possituteomes, each of which has the same number of positive as negative examples. On the othand, in (b) we see that *Patrons* is a fairly important attribute, because if the value is *None*, then we are left with example sets for which we can answer definitively (*No* and Y respectively). If the value is *Full*, we are left with a mixed set of examples. In general, a the first attribute test splits up the examples, each outcome is a new decision tree learn problem in itself, with fewer examples and one less attribute. There are four cases to consifer these recursive problems:

- 1. If the remaining examples are all positive (or all negative), then we are done: we answer Yes or No. Figure 18.4(b) shows examples of this happening in the None a Some branches.
- 2. If there are some positive and some negative examples, then choose the best attribute split them. Figure 18.4(b) shows *Hungry* being used to split the remaining example
- 3. If there are no examples left, it means that no example has been observed for this co