Lecture 6: Transactions

- Topics: introduction to transactional memory

Transactions

- Transactional semantics:
  - when a transaction executes, it is as if the rest of the system is suspended and the transaction is in isolation
  - the reads and writes of a transaction happen as if they are all a single atomic operation
  - if the above conditions are not met, the transaction fails to commit (abort) and tries again

```
transaction begin
    read shared variables
    arithmetic
    write shared variables
transaction end
```

Applications

- A transaction executes speculatively in the hope that there will be no conflicts

- Can replace a lock-unlock pair with a transaction begin-end
  - the lock is blocking, the transaction is not
  - programmers can conservatively introduce transactions without worsening performance

```
lock (lock1)
   read  A
   operations
   write A
unlock (lock1)
```

```
transaction begin
     read  A
     operations
     write A
transaction end
```

Example 1

```
lock (lock1)
   counter = counter + 1;
unlock (lock1)
```

```
transaction begin
   counter = counter + 1;
transaction end
```

No apparent advantage to using transactions (apart from fault resiliency)

Example 2

Producer-consumer relationships – producers place tasks at the tail of a work-queue and consumers pull tasks out of the head

Enqueue

```
transaction begin
  if (tail == NULL)
    update head and tail
  else
    update tail
transaction end
```

Dequeue

```
transaction begin
  if (head->next == NULL)
    update head and tail
  else
    update head
transaction end
```

With locks, neither thread can proceed in parallel since head/tail may be updated – with transactions, enqueue and dequeue can proceed in parallel – transactions will be aborted only if the queue is nearly empty

Example 3

Hash table implementation

```
transaction begin
    index = hash(key);
    head = bucket[index];
    traverse linked list until key matches
    perform operations
transaction end
```

Most operations will likely not conflict → transactions proceed in parallel

Coarse-grain lock → serialize all operations
Fine-grained locks (one for each bucket) → more complexity, more storage,
concurrent reads not allowed,
concurrent writes to different elements not allowed

Detecting Conflicts – Basic Implementation

- Writes can be cached (can't be written to memory) – if the block needs to be evicted, flag an overflow (abort transaction for now) – on an abort, invalidate the written cache lines
- Keep track of read-set and write-set (bits in the cache) for each transaction
- When another transaction commits, compare its write set with your own read set – a match causes an abort
- At transaction end, express intent to commit, broadcast write-set (transactions can commit in parallel if their write-sets do not intersect)

Design Space

- Data Versioning
  - Eager: based on an undo log
  - Lazy: based on a write buffer

- Conflict Detection
  - Optimistic detection: check for conflicts at commit time (proceed optimistically thru transaction)
  - Pessimistic detection: every read/write checks for conflicts (so you can abort quickly)

Summary of TM Benefits

- As easy to program as coarse-grain locks
- Performance similar to fine-grain locks
- Speculative parallelization
- Avoids deadlock
- Resilient to faults
- Simpler consistency model?
- Composable

Relation to LL-SC

- Transactions can be viewed as an extension of LL-SC
- LL-SC ensures that the read-modify-write for a single variable is atomic; a transaction ensures atomicity for all variables accessed between trans-begin and trans-end

Vers-1	Vers-2	Vers-3
ll a	ll a	trans-begin
ld b	ll b	ld a
st b	sc b	ld b
sc a	sc a	st b
		st a
		trans-end

Design Issues and Challenges

- Nested transactions
  - Closed nesting: nested transaction's read/write set are included in parent's read/write set on inner commit; on inner conflict, only nested transaction is re-started; easier for programmer
  - Open nesting: on inner commit, writes are committed and not merged with outer read/write set
- I/O – buffering can help
- Interaction with other non-TM applications (OS)
- Large transactions that cause overflows (less than 1% of all transactions are large)
- Low overheads for rollback and commit

Title

- Bullet