Lecture 24: Security, Multiprocessors

- Today's topics:
  - Security
  - Cache coherence in multiprocessors

Meltdown

Spectre: Variant 1

```
if (x < array1_size)
    y = array2[ array1[x] ];
```

Spectre: Variant 1

x is controlled by attacker

Thanks to bpred, x can be anything

array1[ ] is the secret

Victim Code

```
if (x < array1_size)
    y = array2[ array1[x] ];
```

Access pattern of array2[ ] betrays the secret

Spectre: Variant 2

Attacker code

Label0: if (1)

Label1: …

Victim code

R1 ← (from attacker)

R2 ← some secret

Label0: if (…)

… …

Victim code

Label1:

lw [R2]

Multiprocessor Taxonomy

- SISD: single instruction and single data stream: uniprocessor
- MISD: no commercial multiprocessor: imagine data going through a pipeline of execution engines
- SIMD: vector architectures: lower flexibility
- MIMD: most multiprocessors today: easy to construct with off-the-shelf computers, most flexibility

Memory Organization - I

- Centralized shared-memory multiprocessor or Symmetric shared-memory multiprocessor (SMP)
- Multiple processors connected to a single centralized memory – since all processors see the same memory organization → uniform memory access (UMA)
- Shared-memory because all processors can access the entire memory address space
- Can centralized memory emerge as a bandwidth bottleneck? – not if you have large caches and employ fewer than a dozen processors

Snooping-Based Protocols

- Three states for a block: invalid, shared, modified
- A write is placed on the bus and sharers invalidate themselves
- The protocols are referred to as MSI, MESI, etc.



Snooping-Based Protocols

- Three states for a block: invalid, shared, modified
- A write is placed on the bus and sharers invalidate themselves
- The protocols are referred to as MSI, MESI, etc.



Example

- P1 reads X: not found in cache-1, request sent on bus, memory responds, X is placed in cache-1 in shared state
- P2 reads X: not found in cache-2, request sent on bus, everyone snoops this request, cache-1does nothing because this is just a read request, memory responds, X is placed in cache-2 in shared state



- P1 writes X: cache-1 has data in shared state (shared only provides read perms), request sent on bus, cache-2 snoops and then invalidates its copy of X, cache-1 moves its state to modified
- P2 reads X: cache-2 has data in invalid state, request sent on bus, cache-1 snoops and realizes it has the only valid copy, so it downgrades itself to shared state and responds with data, X is placed in cache-2 in shared state, memory is also updated

Example

Request	Cache Hit/Miss	Request on the bus	Who responds	State in Cache 1	State in Cache 2	State in Cache 3	State in Cache 4
				Inv	Inv	Inv	Inv
P1: Rd X	Rd Miss	Rd X	Memory	S	Inv	Inv	Inv
P2: Rd X	Rd Miss	Rd X	Memory	S	S	Inv	Inv
P2: Wr X	Perms Miss	Upgrade X	No response. Other caches invalidate.	Inv	M	Inv	Inv
P3: Wr X	Wr Miss	Wr X	P2 responds	Inv	Inv	M	Inv
P3: Rd X	Rd Hit	-	-	Inv	Inv	M	Inv
P4: Rd X	Rd Miss	Rd X	P3 responds. Mem wrtbk	Inv	Inv	S	S

Cache Coherence Protocols

- Directory-based: A single location (directory) keeps track of the sharing status of a block of memory

- Snooping: Every cache block is accompanied by the sharing status of that block – all cache controllers monitor the shared bus so they can update the sharing status of the block, if necessary

  - Write-invalidate: a processor gains exclusive access of a block before writing by invalidating all other copies
  - Write-update: when a processor writes, it updates other shared copies of that block

Constructing Locks

- Applications have phases (consisting of many instructions) that must be executed atomically, without other parallel processes modifying the data
- A lock surrounding the data/code ensures that only one program can be in a critical section at a time
- The hardware must provide some basic primitives that allow us to construct locks with different properties

Bank balance
$1000

Parallel (unlocked) banking transactions

Rd $1000
Add $100
Wr $1100

Rd $1000
Add $200
Wr $1200

Synchronization

- The simplest hardware primitive that greatly facilitates synchronization implementations (locks, barriers, etc.) is an atomic read-modify-write

- Atomic exchange: swap contents of register and memory

- Special case of atomic exchange: test & set: transfer memory location into register and write 1 into memory (if memory has 0, lock is free)

- lock:

```
lock:   t&s   register, location
        bnz   register, lock
        CS
        st    location, #0
```

When multiple parallel threads execute this code, only one will be able to enter CS

Coherence Vs. Consistency

- Coherence guarantees (i) write propagation (a write will eventually be seen by other processors), and (ii) write serialization (all processors see writes to the same location in the same order)

- The consistency model defines the ordering of writes and reads to different memory locations – the hardware guarantees a certain consistency model and the programmer attempts to write correct programs with those assumptions

Consistency Example

- Consider a multiprocessor with bus-based snooping cache coherence

```
Initially A = B = 0
 P1                P2
A ← 1             B ← 1
 …                 …
if (B == 0)       if (A == 0)
 Crit.Section      Crit.Section
```

Consistency Example

- Consider a multiprocessor with bus-based snooping cache coherence

```
Initially A = B = 0
 P1                P2
A ← 1             B ← 1
 …                 …
if (B == 0)       if (A == 0)
 Crit.Section      Crit.Section
```

The programmer expected the above code to implement a lock – because of ooo, both processors can enter the critical section

The consistency model lets the programmer know what assumptions they can make about the hardware's reordering capabilities