Lecture 23: Multiprocessors

- Today's topics:
  - RAID
  - Multiprocessor taxonomy
  - Snooping-based cache coherence protocol

RAID 0 and RAID 1

- RAID 0 has no additional redundancy (misnomer) – it uses an array of disks and stripes (interleaves) data across the arrays to improve parallelism and throughput
- RAID 1 mirrors or shadows every disk – every write happens to two disks
- Reads to the mirror may happen only when the primary disk fails – or, you may try to read both together and the quicker response is accepted
- Expensive solution: high reliability at twice the cost

RAID 3

- Data is bit-interleaved across several disks and a separate disk maintains parity information for a set of bits
- For example: with 8 disks, bit 0 is in disk-0, bit 1 is in disk-1, …, bit 7 is in disk-7; disk-8 maintains parity for all 8 bits
- For any read, 8 disks must be accessed (as we usually read more than a byte at a time) and for any write, 9 disks must be accessed as parity has to be re-calculated
- High throughput for a single request, low cost for redundancy (overhead: 12.5%), low task-level parallelism

RAID 4 and RAID 5

- Data is block interleaved – this allows us to get all our data from a single disk on a read – in case of a disk error, read all 9 disks

- Block interleaving reduces thruput for a single request (as only a single disk drive is servicing the request), but improves task-level parallelism as other disk drives are free to service other requests

- On a write, we access the disk that stores the data and the parity disk – parity information can be updated simply by checking if the new data differs from the old data

RAID 5

- If we have a single disk for parity, multiple writes can not happen in parallel (as all writes must update parity info)
- RAID 5 distributes the parity block to allow simultaneous writes

RAID Summary

- RAID 1-5 can tolerate a single fault – mirroring (RAID 1) has a 100% overhead, while parity (RAID 3, 4, 5) has modest overhead
- Can tolerate multiple faults by having multiple check functions – each additional check can cost an additional disk (RAID 6)
- RAID 6 and RAID 2 (memory-style ECC) are not commercially employed

Multiprocessor Taxonomy

- SISD: single instruction and single data stream: uniprocessor
- MISD: no commercial multiprocessor: imagine data going through a pipeline of execution engines
- SIMD: vector architectures: lower flexibility
- MIMD: most multiprocessors today: easy to construct with off-the-shelf computers, most flexibility

Memory Organization - I

- Centralized shared-memory multiprocessor or Symmetric shared-memory multiprocessor (SMP)

- Multiple processors connected to a single centralized memory – since all processors see the same memory organization → uniform memory access (UMA)

- Shared-memory because all processors can access the entire memory address space

- Can centralized memory emerge as a bandwidth bottleneck? – not if you have large caches and employ fewer than a dozen processors

SMPs or Centralized Shared-Memory



Memory Organization - II

- For higher scalability, memory is distributed among processors → distributed memory multiprocessors
- If one processor can directly address the memory local to another processor, the address space is shared → distributed shared-memory (DSM) multiprocessor
- If memories are strictly local, we need messages to communicate data → cluster of computers or multicomputers
- Non-uniform memory architecture (NUMA) since local memory has lower latency than remote memory

Distributed Memory Multiprocessors



SMPs

- Centralized main memory and many caches → many copies of the same data
- A system is cache coherent if a read returns the most recently written value for that word

Time	Event	Value of X in	Cache-A	Cache-B	Memory
0			-	-	1
1	CPU-A reads X		1	-	1
2	CPU-B reads X		1	1	1
3	CPU-A stores 0 in X		0	1	0

Cache Coherence

A memory system is coherent if:

- P writes to X; no other processor writes to X; P reads X and receives the value previously written by P

- P1 writes to X; no other processor writes to X; sufficient time elapses; P2 reads X and receives value written by P1

- Two writes to the same location by two processors are seen in the same order by all processors – write serialization

- The memory *consistency* model defines “time elapsed” before the effect of a processor is seen by others

Cache Coherence Protocols

- Directory-based: A single location (directory) keeps track of the sharing status of a block of memory

- Snooping: Every cache block is accompanied by the sharing status of that block – all cache controllers monitor the shared bus so they can update the sharing status of the block, if necessary

  - Write-invalidate: a processor gains exclusive access of a block before writing by invalidating all other copies
  - Write-update: when a processor writes, it updates other shared copies of that block

Design Issues

- Three states for a block: invalid, shared, modified
- A write is placed on the bus and sharers invalidate themselves



Title

- Bullet