Lecture 3: Performance/Power, MIPS Instructions

- Today's topic:
 - More performance/power equations, examples
 - MIPS instructions
- HW1 is due on Thursday
- TA office hours have begun

CPU execution time = CPU clock cycles x Clock cycle time Clock cycle time = 1 / Clock speed

If a processor has a frequency of 3 GHz, the clock ticks 3 billion times in a second – as we'll soon see, with each clock tick, one or more/less instructions may complete

If a program runs for 10 seconds on a 3 GHz processor, how many clock cycles did it run for?

If a program runs for 2 billion clock cycles on a 1.5 GHz processor, what is the execution time in seconds?

CPU clock cycles = number of instrs x avg clock cycles per instruction (CPI)

Substituting in previous equation,

Execution time = clock cycle time x number of instrs x avg CPI

If a 2 GHz processor graduates an instruction every third cycle, how many instructions are there in a program that runs for 10 seconds? Execution time = clock cycle time x number of instrs x avg CPI

- Clock cycle time: manufacturing process (how fast is each transistor), how much work gets done in each pipeline stage (more on this later)
- Number of instrs: the quality of the compiler and the instruction set architecture
- CPI: the nature of each instruction and the quality of the architecture implementation

Execution time = clock cycle time x number of instrs x avg CPI

Which of the following two systems is better?

- A program is converted into 4 billion MIPS instructions by a compiler ; the MIPS processor is implemented such that each instruction completes in an average of 1.5 cycles and the clock speed is 1 GHz
- The same program is converted into 2 billion x86 instructions; the x86 processor is implemented such that each instruction completes in an average of 6 cycles and the clock speed is 1.5 GHz

- Total power = dynamic power + leakage power
- Dynamic power α activity x capacitance x voltage² x frequency
- Leakage power α voltage
- Energy = power x time (joules) (watts) (sec)

 A 1 GHz processor takes 100 seconds to execute a program, while consuming 70 W of dynamic power and 30 W of leakage power. Does the program consume less energy in Turbo boost mode when the frequency is increased to 1.2 GHz? A 1 GHz processor takes 100 seconds to execute a program, while consuming 70 W of dynamic power and 30 W of leakage power. Does the program consume less energy in Turbo boost mode when the frequency is increased to 1.2 GHz?

Normal mode energy = 100 W x 100 s = 10,000 J Turbo mode energy = (70 x 1.2 + 30) x 100/1.2 = 9,500 J

Note:

Frequency only impacts dynamic power, not leakage power. We assume that the program's CPI is unchanged when frequency is changed, i.e., exec time varies linearly with cycle time.

Benchmark Suites

- Each vendor announces a SPEC rating for their system
 - a measure of execution time for a fixed collection of programs
 - is a function of a specific CPU, memory system, IO system, operating system, compiler
 - enables easy comparison of different systems

The key is coming up with a collection of relevant programs

SPEC CPU

- SPEC: System Performance Evaluation Corporation, an industry consortium that creates a collection of relevant programs
- SPEC 2006 includes 12 integer and 17 floating-point applications
- The SPEC rating specifies how much faster a system is, compared to a baseline machine – a system with SPEC rating 600 is 1.5 times faster than a system with SPEC rating 400
- Note that this rating incorporates the behavior of all 29 programs – this may not necessarily predict performance for your favorite program!
- Latest version: SPEC 2017

How is the performance of 29 different apps compressed into a single performance number?

- SPEC uses geometric mean (GM) the execution time of each program is multiplied and the Nth root is derived
- Another popular metric is arithmetic mean (AM) the average of each program's execution time
- Weighted arithmetic mean the execution times of some programs are weighted to balance priorities

- Architecture design is very bottleneck-driven make the common case fast, do not waste resources on a component that has little impact on overall performance/power
- Amdahl's Law: performance improvements through an enhancement is limited by the fraction of time the enhancement comes into play
- Example: a web server spends 40% of time in the CPU and 60% of time doing I/O – a new processor that is ten times faster results in a 36% reduction in execution time (speedup of 1.56) – Amdahl's Law states that maximum execution time reduction is 40% (max speedup of 1.66)

Common Principles

- Amdahl's Law
- Energy: performance improvements typically also result in energy improvements – less leakage
- 90-10 rule: 10% of the program accounts for 90% of execution time
- Principle of locality: the same data/code will be used again (temporal locality), nearby data/code will be touched next (spatial locality)

- Knowledge of hardware improves software quality: compilers, OS, threaded programs, memory management
- Important trends: growing transistors, move to multi-core and accelerators, slowing rate of performance improvement, power/thermal constraints, long memory/disk latencies
- Reasoning about performance: clock speeds, CPI, benchmark suites, performance and power equations
- Next: assembly instructions

Instruction Set

- Understanding the language of the hardware is key to understanding the hardware/software interface
- A program (in say, C) is compiled into an executable that is composed of machine instructions – this executable must also run on future machines – for example, each Intel processor reads in the same x86 instructions, but each processor handles instructions differently
- Java programs are converted into portable bytecode that is converted into machine instructions during execution (just-in-time compilation)
- What are important design principles when defining the instruction set architecture (ISA)?

- Important design principles when defining the instruction set architecture (ISA):
 - keep the hardware simple the chip must only implement basic primitives and run fast
 - keep the instructions regular simplifies the decoding/scheduling of instructions

We will later discuss RISC vs CISC

C code:
$$a = b + c$$
;

Assembly code: (human-friendly machine instructions) add a, b, c # a is the sum of b and c

Translate the following C code into assembly code: a = b + c + d + e;

Example

C code a = b + c + d + e; translates into the following assembly code:

add	a, b, c		add	a, b, c
add	a, a, d	or	add	f, d, e
add	a, a, e		add	a, a, f

- Instructions are simple: fixed number of operands (unlike C)
- A single line of C code is converted into multiple lines of assembly code
- Some sequences are better than others... the second sequence needs one more (temporary) variable f