Lecture 2: Performance

- Today's topics:
 - Performance trends and equations

 Reminders: YouTube videos, canvas, and class webpage: http://www.cs.utah.edu/~rajeev/cs3810/ • Historical contributions to performance:

- 1. Better processes (faster devices) ~20%
- 2. Better circuits/pipelines ~15%
- 3. Better organization/architecture ~15%

In the future, bullet-2 will help little and bullet-1 will eventually disappear!

| | Pentium | P-Pro | P-II | P-III | P-4 | Itanium | Montecito |
|-----------------------|---------|-------|---|-------|-------|---------|-----------|
| Year | 1993 | 95 | 97 | 99 | 2000 | 2002 | 2005 |
| Transistors | 3.1M | 5.5M | 7.5M | 9.5M | 42M | 300M | 1720M |
| Clock Speed | 60M | 200M | 300M | 500M | 1500M | 800M | 1800M |
| Moore's Law in action | | | At this point, adding transistors to a core yields little benefit ² | | | | |

Processor Technology Trends

- Shrinking of transistor sizes: 250nm (1997) →
 130nm (2002) → 70nm (2008) → 35nm (2014)
- Transistor density increases by 35% per year and die size increases by 10-20% per year... functionality improvements!
- Transistor speed improves linearly with size (complex equation involving voltages, resistances, capacitances)
- Wire delays do not scale down at the same rate as transistor delays

Memory and I/O Technology Trends

- DRAM density increases by 40-60% per year, latency has reduced by 33% in 10 years (the memory wall!), bandwidth improves twice as fast as latency decreases
- Disk density improves by 100% every year, latency improvement similar to DRAM
- Networks: primary focus on bandwidth; 10Mb → 100Mb
 in 10 years; 100Mb → 1Gb in 5 years

- Possible measures:
 - response time time elapsed between start and end of a program
 - throughput amount of work done in a fixed time
- The two measures are usually linked
 - A faster processor will improve both
 - More processors will likely only improve throughput
 - Some policies will improve throughput and worsen response time
- What influences performance?

Consider a system X executing a fixed workload W

 $Performance_{X} = 1 / Execution time_{X}$

Execution time = response time = wall clock time

 Note that this includes time to execute the workload as well as time spent by the operating system co-ordinating various events

The UNIX "time" command breaks up the wall clock time as user and system time

Speedup and Improvement

- System X executes a program in 10 seconds, system Y executes the same program in 15 seconds
- System X is 1.5 times faster than system Y
- The speedup of system X over system Y is 1.5 (the ratio)
- The performance improvement of X over Y is
 1.5 -1 = 0.5 = 50%
- The execution time reduction for the program, compared to Y is (15-10) / 15 = 33% The execution time increase, compared to X is (15-10) / 10 = 50%

A Primer on Clocks and Cycles

CPU execution time = CPU clock cycles x Clock cycle time Clock cycle time = 1 / Clock speed

If a processor has a frequency of 3 GHz, the clock ticks 3 billion times in a second – as we'll soon see, with each clock tick, one or more/less instructions may complete

If a program runs for 10 seconds on a 3 GHz processor, how many clock cycles did it run for?

If a program runs for 2 billion clock cycles on a 1.5 GHz processor, what is the execution time in seconds?

CPU clock cycles = number of instrs x avg clock cycles per instruction (CPI)

Substituting in previous equation,

Execution time = clock cycle time x number of instrs x avg CPI

If a 2 GHz processor graduates an instruction every third cycle, how many instructions are there in a program that runs for 10 seconds? Execution time = clock cycle time x number of instrs x avg CPI

- Clock cycle time: manufacturing process (how fast is each transistor), how much work gets done in each pipeline stage (more on this later)
- Number of instrs: the quality of the compiler and the instruction set architecture
- CPI: the nature of each instruction and the quality of the architecture implementation

Execution time = clock cycle time x number of instrs x avg CPI

Which of the following two systems is better?

- A program is converted into 4 billion MIPS instructions by a compiler ; the MIPS processor is implemented such that each instruction completes in an average of 1.5 cycles and the clock speed is 1 GHz
- The same program is converted into 2 billion x86 instructions; the x86 processor is implemented such that each instruction completes in an average of 6 cycles and the clock speed is 1.5 GHz

- Each vendor announces a SPEC rating for their system
 - a measure of execution time for a fixed collection of programs
 - is a function of a specific CPU, memory system, IO system, operating system, compiler
 - enables easy comparison of different systems

The key is coming up with a collection of relevant programs



- SPEC: System Performance Evaluation Corporation, an industry consortium that creates a collection of relevant programs
- The 2006 version includes 12 integer and 17 floating-point applications
- The SPEC rating specifies how much faster a system is, compared to a baseline machine – a system with SPEC rating 600 is 1.5 times faster than a system with SPEC rating 400
- Note that this rating incorporates the behavior of all 29 programs this may not necessarily predict performance for your favorite program!

How is the performance of 29 different apps compressed into a single performance number?

- SPEC uses geometric mean (GM) the execution time of each program is multiplied and the Nth root is derived
- Another popular metric is arithmetic mean (AM) the average of each program's execution time
- Weighted arithmetic mean the execution times of some programs are weighted to balance priorities

- Architecture design is very bottleneck-driven make the common case fast, do not waste resources on a component that has little impact on overall performance/power
- Amdahl's Law: performance improvements through an enhancement is limited by the fraction of time the enhancement comes into play
- Example: a web server spends 40% of time in the CPU and 60% of time doing I/O – a new processor that is ten times faster results in a 36% reduction in execution time (speedup of 1.56) – Amdahl's Law states that maximum execution time reduction is 40% (max speedup of 1.66).

Common Principles

- Amdahl's Law
- Energy: systems leak energy even when idle
- Energy: performance improvements typically also result in energy improvements
- 90-10 rule: 10% of the program accounts for 90% of execution time
- Principle of locality: the same data/code will be used again (temporal locality), nearby data/code will be touched next (spatial locality)

 A 1 GHz processor takes 100 seconds to execute a program, while consuming 70 W of dynamic power and 30 W of leakage power. Does the program consume less energy in Turbo boost mode when the frequency is increased to 1.2 GHz? A 1 GHz processor takes 100 seconds to execute a program, while consuming 70 W of dynamic power and 30 W of leakage power. Does the program consume less energy in Turbo boost mode when the frequency is increased to 1.2 GHz?

Normal mode energy = $100 \text{ W} \times 100 \text{ s} = 10,000 \text{ J}$ Turbo mode energy = $(70 \times 1.2 + 30) \times 100/1.2 = 9,500 \text{ J}$

Note:

Frequency only impacts dynamic power, not leakage power. We assume that the program's CPI is unchanged when frequency is changed, i.e., exec time varies linearly with cycle time.



- Knowledge of hardware improves software quality: compilers, OS, threaded programs, memory management
- Important trends: growing transistors, move to multi-core and accelerators, slowing rate of performance improvement, power/thermal constraints, long memory/disk latencies
- Reasoning about performance: clock speeds, CPI, benchmark suites, performance equations
- Next: assembly instructions



Bullet