

CS 6530: Advanced Database Systems Fall 2024

Lecture 16

ML for Databases

Prashant Pandey

prashant.pandey@utah.edu


Acknowledgement: Slides taken from Prof. Manos Athanassoulis, BU

Machine learning algorithms improve *automatically* through *experience* and by the use of ***data***.

Machine learning algorithms build a model based on ***training data***, in order to make ***predictions*** or ***decisions*** without being explicitly programmed to do so.



Which database systems components can benefit/be replaced by ML algorithms?






*application/SQL
access patterns
complex queries*




modules






*application/SQL
access patterns
complex queries*

modules







Use ML models to replace the cost-models of the database *Tuner*




*application/SQL
access patterns
complex queries*

modules




Use ML models to replace the navigational part of an *Index*





*application/SQL
access patterns
complex queries*

modules



Use ML models to replace the cost-model of the *Query Optimizer*





*application/SQL
access patterns
complex queries*

modules


Query
Parser

Query
Compiler

Optimizer

Evaluation
Engine

Memory/Storage
Management



Use ML models to *estimate the actual data* and replace the **Query Evaluation**

Transaction
Management


Self-driving Data systems

Types of actions that a self-driving system needs to take *automatically*

	Types	Actions
PHYSICAL	Indexes	AddIndex, DropIndex, Rebuild, Convert
	Materialized Views	AddMatView, DropMatView
	Storage Layout	Row→Columnar, Columnar→Row, Compress
DATA	Location	MoveUpTier, MoveDownTier, Migrate
	Partitioning	RepartitionTable, ReplicateTable
RUNTIME	Resources	AddNode, RemoveNode
	Configuration Tuning	IncrementKnob, DecrementKnob, SetKnob
	Query Optimizations	CostModelTune, Compilation, Prefetch

Use-case: Peloton Self-Driving Architecture

(A) Application (B) Workload Monitoring (C) Workload Classification [unsupervised learning to group similar queries] (E) Action Planning [use tools like *receding-horizon control model* to select actions that might lead to better performance in the future]



Runtime Architecture

Workload Modeling


Control Framework

(D) Workload Forecasting [predict future workload to autoscale cloud instances]


(F) Action Generator [select action and log them, reversals may also happen]

Workload forecasting

Using Recurrent Neural Networks (RNN) the model learns patterns and adapts to changes




(a) RNN Forecast Model (24-Hour Horizon)



(b) RNN Forecast Model (7-Day Horizon)

Action example: adapting the storage layout




Columns are better for OLAP

Rows are better for OLTP


Hybrid matches the best when workload alternates

Why automatic tuning is hard? (1/2)



(a) Dependencies


Complex interdependencies between different tuning knobs!




(b) Continuous Settings

Continuous domain (“too many” knob options) with irregular benefits

Why automatic tuning is hard? (2/2)




Non-reusable configurations!



Increasing tuning complexity


Use case: Ottertune



Two distinct components: the tuning manager **does not have access to data**, only to **performance metrics** and the values of the **tuning knobs**

All performance data are organized per system and per major version to ensure that no wrong, deprecated, or non-existing knobs are tuned.

OtterTune Machine Learning Pipeline




How to classify/characterize a workload?



A workload is characterized based on the system metrics when it is executed (e.g., #pages reads/writes, cache utilization, locking overhead)


OtterTune Machine Learning Pipeline



Collect statistics at the global level (system-wide), per table proves to be challenging for various systems

Prune redundant metrics (e.g., data read and pages read are directly linked) via factor analysis and k-means clustering

OtterTune Machine Learning Pipeline



Identify important knobs


Order the knobs based on their significance on the system's performance (and identify knobs interdependencies)

Store in a repository observations

OtterTune Machine Learning Pipeline

Automated Tuning: an Example

Use the systems metrics to identify (classify) the workload



Iterative configuration recommendation balancing *exploration* vs. *exploitation*


Exploration: try out a configuration for which there is not enough data in the repository

this is done when (i) there is not enough data for this workload (so more data are needed), or (ii) the system decides to try out new configurations that help collect more data in general

Exploitation: the system uses small variations of a configuration that is close to optimal using the existing data

OtterTune in Action

Start by sweeping values of knobs to collect “training data”




The optimal number of knobs varies per *DBMS* and *workload*!

Increasing the number of knobs gradually is the best approach, because it balances complexity and performance.

OtterTune tunes MySQL and Postgres that have few impactful knobs, and Actian Vector that requires more knobs to be tuned in order to achieve good performance.


OtterTune vs iTuned on TPCC

iTuned uses an initial set of 10 DBMS configurations at the beginning of the tuning session.



OtterTune is trained with more data, so it can achieve a better end result!

OtterTune vs iTuned on TPCCH




Action Vector allows fewer “bad” options, so the training is easier.


“A tuning knob is a database engineer not knowing what do”

take this with a grain of salt!

OtterTune Efficacy Comparison




(a) TPC-C (Throughput)




(b) TPC-C (99%-tile Latency)

MySQL



(a) TPC-C (Throughput)





(b) TPC-C (99%-tile Latency)

PostgreSQL

It is hard (but not impossible) to beat an expert DBA!


A Learned Database System






*application/SQL
access patterns
complex queries*


modules




Use ML models to replace the cost-model of the *Query Optimizer*




Learned Query Optimization




Learned Query Optimization




PostgreSQL




SQLite



MS SQL Server







Oracle







JOB

TPC-H



Corp



A perspective on ML in Database Systems

from: ML-In-Databases: Assessment and Prognosis, IEEE Data Engineering Bulletin

New *Forces*

(1) End-users want to

democratize data (all business units to have access to all data)
make data-driven decisions (often in real time)

(2) New applications

structured query processing (SQL) + natural language processing (NLP) + Complex Analytics (exploratory + predictive ML)

New *Forces*

(3) Data integration

diverse and inconsistent datasets are combined in common data repositories (data lakes)

(2) New hardware + the move to the cloud

moving from full ownership to pay-as-you-go

self-tuning systems *en masse* in the cloud (as we discussed today)

Consequences and New Directions

Storage *hierarchy* is still relevant, but the layers are elastic (in the cloud)

ML models can be deployed at-will as “functions”

New push for *serverless computing*

use only services and not rent an entire server

