L7: Writing Correct Programs

Administrative

- Next assignment available
  - Goals of assignment:
    - simple memory hierarchy management
    - block-thread decomposition tradeoff
  - Due Friday, Feb. 8, 5PM
  - Use handin program on CADE machines
    - "handin CS6235 lab2 <probfile>"

Outline

- How to tell if your parallelization is correct?
- Definitions:
  - Race conditions and data dependences
  - Example
- Reasoning about race conditions
- A Look at the Architecture:
  - how to protect memory accesses from race conditions?
- Synchronization within a block: __syncthreads();
- Synchronization across blocks (through global memory)
  - atomicOperations (example)
  - memoryFences

Timing Code for Assignment

- Timing example (excerpt from simpleStreams in CUDA SDK):

```
cudaEvent_t start_event, stop_event;
cudaEventCreate(&start_event);
cudaEventCreate(&stop_event);
cudaEventRecord(start_event, 0);
sobel<<<blocks, threads>>>(arg1,arg2,arg3);
cudaEventRecord(stop_event, 0);
cudaEventSynchronize(stop_event);
cudaEventElapsedTime(&elapsed_time, start_event, stop_event);
```


What can we do to determine if parallelization is correct in CUDA?

- Can compare GPU output to CPU output
  - Race condition may still be present
  - Error tolerance needed for floating point
- Debugging environments (new-ish)
  - Cuda gdb (Linux)
  - Parallel Nsight (Windows and Vista)

We'll come back to both of these at the end.

- But first (try to) prevent introduction of race conditions (bulk of lecture)

Reminder: Count 6s from L1

- Global, device functions and excerpts from host, main

```
__device__ int compare(int a, int b) {
  if (a == b) return 1;
  return 0;
}

__global__ v
  *d_out) {
 d_out[thre
 for (i=0; i<S
   int val = d_in[i*BLOCKSIZE +
     threadIdx.x];
   d_out[threadIdx.x] +=
         compare(val, 6);
 }
}
```

Compute individual results for each thread

Serialize final results gathering on host

```
int __host__ void outer_compute
  (int *h_in_array, int *h_out_array) {
 ...
 compute<<<1,BLOCKSIZE,msize)>>>
   (d_in_array, d_out_array);

 cudaMemcpy(h_out_array, d_out_array,
  BLOCKSIZE*sizeof(int),
  cudaMemcpyDeviceToHost);

main(int argc, char **argv) {
...
 for (int i=0; i<BLOCKSIZE; i++)
 { sum+=out_array[i]; }
 printf ("Result = %d\n",sum);
}
```


What if we computed sum on GPU?

- Global, device functions and excerpts from host, main

```
__device__ int compare(int a, int b) {
  if (a == b) return 1;
  return 0;
}

__global__ void compute(int *d_in, int
  *sum) {
 *sum = 0;
 for (i=0; i<SIZE/BLOCKSIZE; i++) {
   int val = d_in[i*BLOCKSIZE +
     threadIdx.x];
   *sum +=
        compare(val, 6);
 }
}
```

```
int __host__ void outer_compute
  (int *h_in_array, int *h_sum) {
 ...
 compute<<<1,BLOCKSIZE,msize)>>>
   (d_in_array, d_sum);
 cudaThreadSynchronize();
 cudaMemcpy(h_sum, d_sum,
  sizeof(int),
  cudaMemcpyDeviceToHost);
 ...
int sum;  // an integer
outer_compute(in_array, sum);
printf ("Result = %d\n",sum);
}
```

Each thread increments "sum" variable

Threads Access the Same Memory!

- Global memory and shared memory within an SM can be freely accessed by multiple threads
- Requires appropriate sequencing of memory accesses across threads to same location ***if at least one access is a write***

More Formally: Race Condition or Data Dependence

- A ***race condition*** exists when the result of an execution depends on the ***timing*** of two or more events.
- A ***data dependence*** is an ordering on a pair of memory operations that must be preserved to maintain correctness.

Data Dependence

- **Definition:**
  Two memory accesses are involved in a data dependence if they may refer to the same memory location and one of the references is a write.

  A data dependence can either be between two distinct program statements or two different dynamic executions of the same program statement.

- Two important uses of data dependence information (among others):
  Parallelization: no data dependence between two computations ➔ parallel execution safe
  Locality optimization: absence of data dependences & presence of reuse ➔ reorder memory accesses for better data locality

Data Dependence of Scalar Variables

True (flow) dependence
```
a    =
     = a
```
Anti-dependence
```
     = a
a    =
```
Output dependence
```
a    =
a    =
```
Input dependence (for locality)
```
     = a
     = a
```

Definition: Data dependence exists from a reference instance i to i' iff
either i or i' is a write operation
i and i' refer to the same variable
i executes before i'

Some Definitions (from Allen & Kennedy)

- **Definition 2.5:**
  - Two computations are equivalent if, on the same inputs,
    - they produce identical outputs
    - the outputs are executed in the same order
- **Definition 2.6:**
  - A reordering transformation
    - changes the order of statement execution
    - without adding or deleting any statement executions.
- **Definition 2.7:**
  - A reordering transformation preserves a dependence if
    - it preserves the relative execution order of the dependences' source and sink.

Reference: "Optimizing Compilers for Modern Architectures: A Dependence-Based Approach", Allen and Kennedy, 2002, Ch. 2.

Fundamental Theorem of Dependence

- **Theorem 2.2:**
  - Any reordering transformation that preserves every dependence in a program preserves the meaning of that program.

Parallelization as a Reordering Transformation in CUDA

```
__host callkernel() {
  dim3 blocks(bx,by);
  dim3 threads(tx,ty,tz);
  ... kernelcode<<<blocks,threads>>>
    (<args>);
}
__global kernelcode(<args>) {
  /* code refers to threadIdx.x,
    threadIdx.y, threadIdx.z, blockIdx.x,
    blockIdx.y */
}
```

```
__host callkernel() {

for (int bIdx_x=0; bIdx_x<bx; bIdx_x++) {
for (int bIdx_y=0; bIdx_y<by; bIdx_y++) {
for (int tIdx_x=0; tIdx_x<tx; tIdx_x++) {
for (int tIdx_y=0; tIdx_y<ty; tIdx_y++) {
for (int tIdx_z=0; tIdx_z<tz; tIdx_z++) {

/* code refers to tIdx_x, tIdx_y, tIdx_z,
    bIdx_x, bIdx_y */
}}}}}
```

EQUIVALENT?

Using Data Dependences to Reason about Race Conditions

- Compiler research on data dependence analysis provides a systematic way to conservatively identify race conditions on scalar and array variables
  - Safe if no dependences cross the iteration boundary of a parallel loop. (no loop-carried dependences)
  - If a race condition is found,
    - EITHER serialize loop(s) carrying dependence by making it internal to thread program, or part of the host code
    - OR add "synchronization"

Back to our Example: What if Threads Need to Access Same Memory Location

- Dependence on sum across iterations/threads
  - But reordering ok since operations on sum are associative
- Load/increment/store must be done ***atomically*** to preserve sequential meaning
- Add Synchronization
  - ***Memory-based:*** Protect specific memory locations by sequencing their updates
  - ***Control-based:*** What are threads doing? Stop them at certain points
- Definitions:
  - ***Atomicity***: a set of operations is atomic if either they all execute or none executes. Thus, there is no way to see the results of a partial execution.
  - ***Mutual exclusion***: at most one thread can execute the code at any time
  - ***Barrier***: forces threads to stop and wait until all threads have arrived at some point in code, and typically at the same point

Gathering Results on GPU: Barrier Synchronization w/in Block

```
void __syncthreads();
```

- ***Functionality:*** Synchronizes all threads in a block
  - Each thread waits at the point of this call until all other threads have reached it
  - Once all threads have reached this point, execution resumes normally
- Why is this needed?
  - A thread can freely read the shared memory of its thread block or the global memory of either its block or grid.
  - Allows the program to guarantee partial ordering of these accesses to prevent incorrect orderings.
- Watch out!
  - Potential for deadlock when it appears in conditionals

Gathering Results on GPU for "Count 6"

```
__global__ void compute(int *d_in, int *d_out) {
  d_out[threadIdx.x] = 0;
  for (i=0; i<SIZE/BLOCKSIZE; i++) {
    int val = d_in[i*BLOCKSIZE + threadIdx.x];
    d_out[threadIdx.x] += compare(val, 6);
  }
}
```

```
__global__ void compute(int *d_in, int *d_out, int *d_sum) {
  d_out[threadIdx.x] = 0;
  for (i=0; i<SIZE/BLOCKSIZE; i++) {
    int val = d_in[i*BLOCKSIZE + threadIdx.x];
    d_out[threadIdx.x] += compare(val, 6);
  }
  __syncthreads();
  if (threadIdx.x == 0) {
    for 0..BLOCKSIZE-1
      *d_sum += d_out[i];
    }
}
```


Gathering Results on GPU: Atomic Update to Sum Variable

```
int atomicAdd(int* address, int val);
```

Increments the integer at address by val.

Atomic means that once initiated, the operation executes to completion without interruption by other threads

Gathering Results on GPU for "Count 6"

```
__global__ void compute(int *d_in, int *d_out) {
  d_out[threadIdx.x] = 0;
  for (i=0; i<SIZE/BLOCKSIZE; i++) {
    int val = d_in[i*BLOCKSIZE + threadIdx.x];
    d_out[threadIdx.x] += compare(val, 6);
  }
}
```

```
__global__ void compute(int *d_in, int *d_out, int *d_sum) {
  d_out[threadIdx.x] = 0;
  for (i=0; i<SIZE/BLOCKSIZE; i++) {
    int val = d_in[i*BLOCKSIZE + threadIdx.x];
    d_out[threadIdx.x] += compare(val, 6);
  }
  atomicAdd(d_sum,
            d_out_array[threadIdx.x]);
}
```

Efficient? Find right granularity.

Available Atomic Functions

All but CAS take two operands (unsigned int *address, int (or other type) val);

Arithmetic:
- atomicAdd() – add val to address
- atomicSub() – subtract val from address
- atomicExch() – exchange val at address, return old value
- atomicMin()
- atomicMax()
- atomicInc()
- atomicDec()
- atomicCAS()

Bitwise Functions:
- atomicAnd()
- atomicOr()
- atomicXor()

See Appendix B11 of NVIDIA CUDA 3.2 Programming Guide

Atomic Operations

- Only available for devices with compute capability 1.1 or higher
- Operating on shared memory and for either 32-bit or 64-bit global data for compute capability 1.2 or higher
- 64-bit in shared memory for compute capability 2.0 or higher
- atomicAdd for floating point (32-bit) available for compute capability 2.0 or higher (otherwise, just signed and unsigned integer).

Synchronization Within/Across Blocks: Memory Fence Instructions

void __threadfence_block();
- waits until all global and shared memory accesses made by the calling thread prior to call are visible to all threads in the thread block. In general, when a thread issues a series of writes to memory in a particular order, other threads may see the effects of these memory writes in a different order.

void __threadfence();
- Similar to above, but visible to all threads in the device for global memory accesses and all threads in the thread block for shared memory accesses.

void __threadfence_system();
- Similar to above, but also visible to host for "page-locked" host memory accesses.

Appendix B.5 of NVIDIA CUDA Programming Manual

Memory Fence Example

```
__device__ unsigned int count = 0;
__shared__ bool isLastBlockDone;
__global__ void sum(const float* array,
                    unsigned int N, float* result) {
// Each block sums a subset of the input array
float partialSum = calculatePartialSum(array, N);
if (threadIdx.x == 0) {
  // Thread 0 of each block stores the partial sum
  // to global memory
  result[blockIdx.x] = partial

  // Thread 0 makes sure i
  // all other threads
  __threadfence();

  // Thread 0 of each block signals that it is done
  unsigned int value = atomicInc(&count, gridDim.x);

  // Thread 0 of each block determines if its block is
  // the last block to be done
  isLastBlockDone = (value == (gridDim.x - 1));
}
```

Makes sure write to result complete before continuing

```
// Synchronize to make sure that each thread
// reads the correct value of isLastBlockDone
__syncthreads();

if (isLastBlockDone) {
  // The last block sums the partial sums
  // stored in result[0 .. gridDim.x-1]
  t totalSum = calculateTotalSum(result);

  (threadIdx.x == 0) {
    // Thread 0 of last block stores total sum
    // to global memory and resets count so that
    // next kernel call works properly
    result[0] = totalSum;
    count = 0;
  }
}

}
```

Host-Device Transfers (implicit in synchronization discussion)

- Host-Device Data Transfers
  - Device to host memory bandwidth much lower than device to device bandwidth
  - 8 GB/s peak (PCI-e x16 Gen 2) vs. 102 GB/s peak (Tesla C1060)
- Minimize transfers
  - Intermediate data can be allocated, operated on, and deallocated without ever copying to host memory
- Group transfers
  - One large transfer much better than many small ones

Slide source: Nvidia, 2008

Asynchronous Copy To/From Host (compute capability 1.1 and above)

- Concept:
  - Memory bandwidth can be a limiting factor on GPUs
  - Sometimes computation cost dominated by copy cost
  - But for some computations, data can be "tiled" and computation of tiles can proceed in parallel (some of your projects may want to do this, particularly for large data sets)
  - Can we be computing on one tile while copying another?
- Strategy:
  - Use page-locked memory on host, and asynchronous copies
  - Primitive **cudaMemcpyAsync**
  - Effect is GPU performs DMA from Host Memory
  - Synchronize with **cudaThreadSynchronize()**

Page-Locked Host Memory

- How the Async copy works:
  - DMA performed by GPU memory controller
  - CUDA driver takes virtual addresses and translates them to physical addresses
  - Then copies physical addresses onto GPU
  - Now what happens if the host OS decides to swap out the page???
- Special malloc holds page in place on host
  - Prevents host OS from moving the page
  - CudaMallocHost()
- But performance could degrade if this is done on lots of pages!
  - Bypassing virtual memory mechanisms

Example of Asynchronous Data Transfer

```
cudaStreamCreate(&stream1);
cudaStreamCreate(&stream2);
cudaMemcpyAsync(dst1, src1, size, dir, stream1);
kernel<<<grid, block, 0, stream1>>>(…);
cudaMemcpyAsync(dst2, src2, size, dir, stream2);
kernel<<<grid, block, 0, stream2>>>(…);
```

src1 and src2 must have been allocated using cudaMallocHost
stream1 and stream2 identify streams associated with asynchronous call (note 4th "parameter" to kernel invocation, by default there is one stream)

Code from asyncAPI SDK project

```
// allocate host memory
CUDA_SAFE_CALL( cudaMallocHost((void**)&a, nbytes) );
memset(a, 0, nbytes);

// allocate device memory
CUDA_SAFE_CALL( cudaMalloc((void**)&d_a, nbytes) );
CUDA_SAFE_CALL( cudaMemset(d_a, 255, nbytes) );

… // declare grid and thread dimensions and create start and stop events

// asynchronously issue work to the GPU (all to stream 0)
cudaEventRecord(start, 0);
cudaMemcpyAsync(d_a, a, nbytes, cudaMemcpyHostToDevice, 0);
increment_kernel<<<blocks, threads, 0, 0>>>(d_a, value);
cudaMemcpyAsync(a, d_a, nbytes, cudaMemcpyDeviceToHost, 0);
cudaEventRecord(stop, 0);

// have CPU do some work while waiting for GPU to finish

// release resources
CUDA_SAFE_CALL( cudaFreeHost(a) );
CUDA_SAFE_CALL( cudaFree(d_a) );
```

More Parallelism to Come (Compute Capability 2.0)

Stream concept: create, destroy, tag asynchronous operations with stream

- Special synchronization mechanisms for streams: queries, waits and synchronize functions

- Concurrent Kernel Execution
  - Execute multiple kernels (up to 4) simultaneously
- Concurrent Data Transfers
  - Can concurrently copy from host to GPU and GPU to host using asynchronous Memcpy

Section 3.2.6 of CUDA manual

Summary of Lecture

- Data dependence can be used to determine the safety of reordering transformations such as parallelization
  - preserving dependences = preserving "meaning"
- In the presence of dependences, synchronization is needed to guarantee safe access to memory
- Synchronization mechanisms on GPUs:
  - __syncthreads() barrier within a block
  - Atomic functions on locations in memory across blocks
  - Memory fences within and across blocks, and host page-locked memory
- More concurrent execution
  - Host page-locked memory
  - Concurrent streams
- Debugging your code