

The Arbitrariness of Variable Names

- Are the following two programs equivalent?

```
(define (f x) (+ x 1))    (define (f y) (+ y 1))  
(f 10)                   (f 10)
```

yes

argument is consistently renamed

The Arbitrariness of Variable Names

- Are the following two programs equivalent?

```
(define (f x) (+ x 1))    (define (f x) (+ y 1))  
(f 10)                   (f 10)
```

no

not a use of the argument anymore

The Arbitrariness of Variable Names

- Are the following two programs equivalent?

```
(define (f x) (+ x 1))    (define (f y) (+ x 1))  
(f 10)                   (f 10)
```

no

not a use of the argument anymore

The Arbitrariness of Variable Names

- Are the following two programs equivalent?

```
(define (f x) (+ y 1))    (define (f z) (+ y 1))  
(f 10)                   (f 10)
```

yes

argument never used, so almost any name is ok

The Arbitrariness of Variable Names

- Are the following two programs equivalent?

```
(define (f x) (+ y 1))      (define (f y) (+ y 1))  
(f 10)                     (f 10)
```

no

now a use of the argument

The Arbitrariness of Variable Names

- Are the following two programs equivalent?

```
(define (f x) (+ y 1))      (define (f x) (+ z 1))  
(f 10)                     (f 10)
```

no

still an undefined variable, but a different one

The Arbitrariness of Variable Names

- Are the following two programs equivalent?

```
(define (f x)              (define (f z)  
  (let ([y 10])           (let ([y 10])  
    (+ x y)))              (+ z y)))  
(f 0)                     (f 0)
```

yes

argument is consistently renamed

The Arbitrariness of Variable Names

- Are the following two programs equivalent?

```
(define (f x)              (define (f x)  
  (let ([y 10])           (let ([z 10])  
    (+ x y)))              (+ x z)))  
(f 0)                     (f 0)
```

yes

local variable is consistently renamed

The Arbitrariness of Variable Names

- Are the following two programs equivalent?

```
(define (f x)
  (let ([y 10])
    (+ x y)))
(f 0)

(define (f x)
  (let ([x 10])
    (+ x x)))
(f 0)
```

no

local variable now hides the argument

The Arbitrariness of Variable Names

- Are the following two programs equivalent?

```
(define (f x)
  (let ([y 10])
    (+ x y)))
(f 0)

(define (f y)
  (let ([y 10])
    (+ y y)))
(f 0)
```

no

local variable now hides the argument

Free and Bound Variables

- A variable for the argument of a function or the name of a local variable is a **binding occurrence**

```
(define (f x y) (+ x y z))
(let ([a 3][c 4]) (+ a b c))
```

Free and Bound Variables

- A use of a function argument or a local variable is a **bound occurrence**

```
(define (f x y) (+ x y z))
(let ([a 3][c 4]) (+ a b c))
```

Free and Bound Variables

- A use of a variable that is not function argument or a local variable is a **free variable**

```
(define (f x y) (+ x y z))
```

```
(let ([a 3][c 4]) (+ a b c))
```

Evaluating Let

```
... (let ([<id>1 <val>1]...[<id>k <val>k]) <expr>a) ...
```

→

```
... <expr>b ...
```

where $\langle \text{expr} \rangle_b$ is $\langle \text{expr} \rangle_a$ with **free** $\langle \text{id} \rangle_i$ replaced by $\langle \text{val} \rangle_i$

```
(let ([x 10]) (let ([x 2]) x))
```

→

```
(let ([x 2]) x)
```

→

```
2
```

Evaluating Let

```
... (let ([<id>1 <val>1]...[<id>k <val>k]) <expr>a) ...
```

→

```
... <expr>b ...
```

where $\langle \text{expr} \rangle_b$ is $\langle \text{expr} \rangle_a$ with **free** $\langle \text{id} \rangle_i$ replaced by $\langle \text{val} \rangle_i$

```
(let ([x 10])
```

```
  (let ([x (+ x 1)]) x))
```

Evaluating Let

```
... (let ([<id>1 <val>1]...[<id>k <val>k]) <expr>a) ...
```

→

```
... <expr>b ...
```

where $\langle \text{expr} \rangle_b$ is $\langle \text{expr} \rangle_a$ with **free** $\langle \text{id} \rangle_i$ replaced by $\langle \text{val} \rangle_i$

```
(let ([x 10])
```

```
  (let ([x (+ x 1)]) x))
```

→

```
(let ([x (+ 10 1)]) x)
```

→

```
(let ([x 11]) x) → 11
```

Evaluating Function Calls, Revised

... (define (<id>₀ <id>₁...<id>_k) <expr>_a) ...

... (<id>₀ <val>₁...<val>_k) ...

→

... (define (<id>₀ <id>₁...<id>_k) <expr>_a) ...

... <expr>_b ...

where <expr>_b is <expr>_a with **free** <id>_i replaced by <val>_i

Local Functions

Recall that

(define <id>₀ (lambda (<id>₁...<id>_k) <expr>))

is shorthand for

(define (<id>₀ <id>₁...<id>_k) <expr>)

New rule: lambda is allowed in let bindings to define local functions:

(let ([f (lambda (x) (+ x 1))])
 (f 10))

Evaluation of Local Functions

(let ([f (lambda (x) (+ x 1))])

(f 10))

→

(define f₁₀₇₃ (lambda (x) (+ x 1)))

(f₁₀₇₃ 10)

→

(define f₁₀₇₃ (lambda (x) (+ x 1)))

(+ 10 1)

→

11

Evaluation of Local Functions

...

... (let ([<id> (lambda (<id>₁...<id>_k) <expr>)]) <expr>_a) ...

→

... (define (<id>_x <id>₁...<id>_k) <expr>)

... <expr>_b ...

where <expr>_b is <expr>_a with free <id> replaced by <id>_x and _x is a subscript that has never been used before, and never will be used again

Lexical Scope

```
(define (f x)
  (let ([g (lambda (y) (+ y x))])
    (let ([x 2]
          (g 3))))
  (f 7))
```

Will **x** be 7 or 2 ?

7, due to **lexical scope**: the value of a bound occurrence comes from its binding

Need a complete definition of **free** and **bound**...

Free and Bound Variables in Scheme

For simplicity, we consider a variant of Scheme that is more restricted than usual:

```
<expr> ::= <num>
        ::= <id>
        ::= (+ <expr> <expr>)
        ::= (let ([<id> <expr>]) <expr>)
        ::= (let ([<id> (lambda (<id>) <expr>)]) <expr>)
        ::= (<id> <expr>)
```

Free Variables in Scheme

- `<num>` has no free variables
- `<id>` has one free variable: `<id>`
- `(+ <expr>1 <expr>2)` has all the free variables of `<expr>1` and `<expr>2` combined
- `(let ([<id>a <expr>b]) <expr>a)` has all the free variables of `<expr>a` minus `<id>a`, plus all the free variables of `<expr>b`
- `(let ([<id>a (lambda (<id>b) <expr>b]) <expr>a)` has all the free variables of `<expr>a` minus `<id>a`, plus all the free variables of `<expr>b` minus `<id>b`
- `<id> <expr>` has all the free variable `<id>` plus all the free variables of `<expr>`

Free Variables in Scheme

See implementation in Scheme

Reviews `define-datatype` motivation and use

Bound Variables in Scheme

- `<num>` has no bound variables
- `<id>` has no bound variables
- `(+ <expr>1 <expr>2)` has all the bound variables of `<expr>1` and `<expr>2` combined
- `(let ([<id>a <expr>b]) <expr>a)` has the bound variable `<id>a` if it is free in `<expr>a`, plus the bound variables of `<expr>a` and `<expr>b`
- `(let ([<id>a (lambda (<id>b) <expr>b]) <expr>a)` has the bound variable `<id>a` if it is free in `<expr>a`, plus the bound variable `<id>b` if it is free in `<expr>b`, plus the bound variables of `<expr>a` and `<expr>b`
- `<id> <expr>` has all the bound variables of `<expr>`

letrec

letrec binds its identifiers in local function bodies, as well as the main body

```
...  
... (letrec ([<id> (lambda (<id>1...<id>k) <expr>c]) <expr>a) ...  
→  
... (define (<id>x <id>1...<id>k) <expr>d)  
... <expr>b ...
```

where `<expr>b` is `<expr>a` with free `<id>` replaced by `<id>x`,
`<expr>d` is `<expr>c` with free `<id>` replaced by `<id>x` and `x` is a subscript that has never been used before, and never will be used again

let*

let* is a shorthand for nested **lets**

$$(\text{let}^* ([\text{<id>}_1 \text{<expr>}_1] \dots [\text{<id>}_k \text{<expr>}_k]) \text{<expr>})$$

=

$$(\text{let} ([\text{<id>}_1 \text{<expr>}_1]) \dots (\text{let} ([\text{<id>}_k \text{<expr>}_k]) \text{<expr>} \dots))$$
$$(\text{let} ([x 1][y x][z y]) z) \rightarrow \rightarrow \text{undefined variable } x$$
$$(\text{let}^* ([x 1][y x][z y]) z) \rightarrow \rightarrow 1$$

Free Variables with letrec

- `(letrec ([<id>a (lambda (<id>b) <expr>b]) <expr>a)` has all the free variables of `<expr>a` minus `<id>a`, plus all the free variables of `<expr>b` minus `<id>a` and `<id>b`

Bound Variables with letrec

- **(let** ($[<id>_a$ (**lambda** ($<id>_b$) $<expr>_b$])) $<expr>_a$) has the bound variable $<id>_a$ if it is free in $<expr>_a$ or $<expr>_b$, plus the bound variable $<id>_b$ if it is free in $<expr>_b$, plus all the bound variables of $<expr>_a$ and $<expr>_b$