Goals

- Free and bound variables
- Let construct
- Lexical scope

1

3

Let introduces local bindings

Each of the names is bound in body, but none of the names are bound in the expressions.

```
(define (f x)
  (cond
  [(> (big-calculation x) 15)
     (g (big-calculation x))]
  [else (h (big-calculation x))]))
or

(define (f x)
  (let ((y (big-calculation x)))
     (cond
     [(> y 15) (g y)]
     [else (h y)])))
```

2

Some examples:

4

(let((a 5)) (let ((a 6))

a))

=

6

=

17

Rule2

Rule1

=

body)

(let ((name2 expression2) ...

(nameN expressionN))
body1)

where body1 is the expression resulting from substituting the *value* of expression1 into body for all the variables of name1.

5

6

Rule1 is broken. Using it:

(+ a a)) a))

=

5))

(let () body) = body

7

8

9

10

(+(+55)5)

It was supposed to be 17

The problem is that the a in the (+ a a) was supposed to be 6, but we replaced it with 5.

Revised rule1:

where body1 is the expression resulting from substituting the *value* of expression1 into body for all the *free* variables of name1.

This means our first step should have been

13 14

(+ a a))

5))

In this example the body of the outer let is:

The **as** are called bound. They are bound by the let.

The *a* is called free. It is not bound *in this* expression.

It is bound if we consider the previous program. But by a different let.

Define also binds variables. (define x 10) $(+ \times 5)$ = 15

Function arguments are binding too.

20

15

let* is similar to let.

where data is some set of primitive scheme data (strings, numbers, booleand, etc.) and operator is some set of binary operators on that data (+, =, and, string-append, ...)

```
(let((a 5))
  (let ((a 10) (b (+ 5 a)))
  b))

=
10

(let((a 5))
  (let* ((a 10) (b (+ 5 a)))
  b))

=
15
```

17

In let* nameX is bound in expressionX+1 and up as well as in the body.

letrec is similar to let, except that each name is bound in every expression.

This isn't useful without local function definitions.