Lexical Addresses

As we saw in the last lecture, the expression

```
let x = 1  y = 2
  in let f = proc (x) +(x, y)
    in (f x)
```

might be compiled to

```
let  = 1   = 2
  in let  = proc (_) +(<0,0>, <1,1>)
    in (<0,0> <1,0>)
```

<**n**, **m**> means: **n** frames up in the environment, at position **m**

How can we compute <**n**, **m**> for every bound variable without running the code?

Computing Lexical Addresses

- What creates a new frame?

  let, **letrec**, and (application of) **proc**

- So, to compute the **n** in <**n**, **m**>, count the number of enclosing **let**, **letrec**, and **proc** keywords between the bound variable and its binding
- The **m** in <**n**, **m**> is simply the variable's position in its binding set

Computing Lexical Addresses

Best visualized as ***countours*** that separate environment extension from the expressions that use it

proc (**x**) +(**x**, 7)

- Count contour crossings to get **n** + 1
- Cross 1 contour from bound **x** to binding **x**, so first part of address is 0
- Full address is <0, 0>

Computing Lexical Addresses

Best visualized as ***countours*** that separate environment extension from the expressions that use it

proc (**y**) **proc** (**x**, **z**) +(**x**, -(**y**, **z**))

- Bound **x**: <0, 0>
- Bound **y**: <1, 0>
- Bound **z**: <0, 1>

Computing Lexical Addresses

Best visualized as ***countours*** that separate environment extension from the expressions that use it

proc (**y**) **proc** (**x**, **z**) +(**x**, -(**y**, **z**))

In general:

proc (<id>$_1$, **...**, <id>$_n$) <expr>

Computing Lexical Addresses

Best visualized as ***countours*** that separate environment extension from the expressions that use it

let x = 5
in x

In general:

let <id>$_1$ = <expr>$_1$
... = **...**
<id>$_n$ = <expr>$_n$
in <expr>

Computing Lexical Addresses

Best visualized as ***countours*** that separate environment extension from the expressions that use it

let x = 5
in x

- Bound **x**: <0, 0>

Computing Lexical Addresses

Best visualized as ***countours*** that separate environment extension from the expressions that use it

let x = 5
y = 7
in let x = **x**
in +(**x**, **y**)

Computing Lexical Addresses

Best visualized as ***countours*** that separate environment extension from the expressions that use it

```
let x = 5
    y = 7
in let x = x
    in +(x, y)
```

- Bound **x**: <0, 0>
- Bound **x**: <0, 0>
- Bound **y**: <1, 1>

Computing Lexical Addresses

Best visualized as ***countours*** that separate environment extension from the expressions that use it

```
letrec f = proc (x) +(x, (g 7))
       g = proc (z) -(z, 2)
 in (f 10)
```

In general:

```
letrec <id>1 = <expr>1
       ... =   ...
       <id>n = <expr>n
 in <expr>
```

Computing Lexical Addresses

Best visualized as ***countours*** that separate environment extension from the expressions that use it

```
letrec f = proc (x) +(x, (g 7))
       g = proc (z) -(z, 2)
 in (f 10)
```

- Bound **x**: <0, 0>
- Bound **g**: <1, 1>
- Bound **z**: <0, 0>
- Bound **f**: <0, 0>

Lexical Addresses are Static

- The contour approach to computing lexical addresses works because they are ***static***
- That's why we can pre-compute them in a compiler

Source Language for Compilation

```
<expr>  ::=  <num>
        ::=  <id>
        ::=  <prim> ( { <expr> }*(,) )
        ::=  let { <id> = <expr> }* in <expr>
        ::=  proc ( { <id> }*(,) ) <expr>
        ::=  (<expr> <expr>*)
```

concrete

Source Language for Compilation

```
<expr>  ::=  (lit-exp <num>)
        ::=  (var-exp <symbol>)
        ::=  (primapp-exp <prim> (list <expr>*))
        ::=  (let-exp (list <symbol>*) (list <expr>*) <expr>)
        ::=  (proc-exp (list <symbol>*) <expr>)
        ::=  (app-exp <expr> (list <expr>*))
```

abstract

Target Language for Compilation

```
<cexpr>  ::=  (lit-cexp <num>)
         ::=  (var-cexp <num> <num>)
         ::=  (primapp-cexp <prim> (list <cexpr>*))
         ::=  (let-cexp (list <cexpr>*) <cexpr>)
         ::=  (proc-cexp <cexpr>)
         ::=  (app-cexp <cexpr> (list <cexpr>*))
```

abstract

(no use for concrete)

For implementation: declare a **`cexpression`** datatype with **`define-datatype`**

Compilation Function

`compile-expression : expr -> cexpr`

- Mostly trival: create a <cexpr> corresponding to the input <expr>
- Interesting case: **var-exp**
  - Use an environment, almost like evaluation
  - Key difference #1: instead of **apply-env**, we need **lexical-address-in-env**
  - Key difference #2: no closures; instead, compile a **proc** body immediately when we encounter the **proc**

Evaluation Function for the Target Language

- **`eval-cexpression`** is similar to **`eval-expression`**, except:
  - The names in the environment do not matter
  - Use **apply-env-to-lexical-address** instead of **apply-env**

Implementation

(implement in DrScheme)