```
L22 -- Efficient Page Rank
[Jeff Phillips - Utah - Data Mining]
_____
MapReduce
Big data D = \{D1, D2, \dots Dm\}
 too big for one machine
 each Di on machine i
 [ Each machine has limited memory! ... compared to data ]
proceeds in rounds (3 parts):
  1: Mapper
      all d in D \rightarrow (k(d), v(d))
  2: Shuffle
      moves all (k, v) and (k', v') with k=k' to same machine
  3: Reducer
      \{(k,v1), (k,v2), \ldots,\} \rightarrow \text{output usually } f(v1,v2,\ldots)
1.5: Combiner
      if one machine has multiple (k,v1), (k,v2)
      then performs part of Reduce before Shuffle.
Can think of output of Reducer as Di on machine i.
Then can string multiple MR-rounds together.
*** key-value pairs can encode much deeper computing power
  + Mapper f(Di) \rightarrow \{(ki,vi)\}_j \rightarrow with (ki = i, v_i = input to node i)
*** Provides very rubout system, many fail-safes if node goes down, gets
slow...
*** very simple!
----- EXAMPLE -----
Histogram into k bins
 Mapper d in D \rightarrow (k=bin(d), 1)
   (combiner)
 Reducer (k=i,v) --> output = sum v
Page Rank:
Internet stored as big matrix M (size=nxn)
  + sparse, 99%+ of entries are 0
    ([M[a,b] = 0] == no link from page a to page b)
```

```
+ P = beta M + (1-beta) B where B[a,b] = 1/n
        beta =~ 0.85
page-rank vector: q_* = P^t q as t \rightarrow infty (here t = 50 to 75 ok)
   "importance of webpage" (other details too, but this is computational hard
part)
Problems:
  - M is sparse, but B (implicit) and P^n is dense! Too BIG to store
    --> q_i is O(n) can always store, so just compute
              q_{i+1} = beta * M *q_i + (1-beta) e/n
             t times
  - Still very big computation. Gigabytes.
     Many machines and machine crash!
     --> MapReduce!
simple: assume q fits in one machine (twice: e.g. q_i and q_{i+1})
  --> break M into vertical stripes
        M = \lceil M1 \ M2 \ \dots \ Mk \rceil
       (and q into q = [q1; q2; ...; qk] = horizontal split)
    then
   Mapper i \rightarrow (key=i' in [k] ; val = (row=r of Mi * qi))
   Reducer: adds values to get each element q[i'] * beta + (1-beta)/n
big q: what if q does not fit in a single machine?
option 1: Tiling.
 M into sqrt(k) x sqrt(k) blocks
   M = [M11 \ M12 \ .. \ M1sqrt\{k\};
        M21 M22 .. M2sqrt{k};
        . . . ;
        Msqrt{k}1 Msqrt{k}2 .. Msqrt{k}sqrt{k}]
  Mapper:
  k machines each get one block M_{i,j}
    and get sent q_i for i in [sqrt{k}]
  Reducer:
   on each row i', adds M_{i,j} q_i \rightarrow q[i']
```

```
and does q_+[i'] = q[i'] * beta + (1-beta)/n
 Problems:
   - each q_i (for i in [sqrt{k}]) is sent sqrt{k} places
   - thrashing: on M_{i,j}
        --> solution: striping -> prefetching
                on q_+ (each column M_{i,j} may add to q_+[i'])
        --> solution: blocking on M_{i,j} (sqrt{k} x sqrt{k} blocks)
                     read M_{i,j} once || read, write q/q_+ sqrt\{k\} times
Example:
M = [0 	 1/2 	 0 	 0]
    [1/3 0 1 1/2]
    [1/3 0 0 1/2]
    [1/3 1/2 0 0]
stripe:
 M1 = [0; 1/3; 1/3; 1/3]
    stored as (1: (1/3,2) (1/3,3) (1/3,4))
 M2 = [1/2; 0; 0; 1/2]
    stored as (2: (1/2,1) (1/2,4))
 M3 = [0; 1; 0; 0]
    stored as (3: (1,3))
 M4 = [1/3; 1/2; 0 0]
    stored as (4: (1/3,1) (1/2,2))
block:
 M11 = [0 \ 1/2; \ 1/3 \ 0]
    stored as (1: (1/2,2)) (2: (1/3,1))
 M12 = [0 \ 0; \ 1 \ 1/2]
    stored as (4: (1,1) (1/2,2))
 M21 = [1/3 \ 0; \ 1/3 \ 1/2]
    stored as (1: (1/3,3)) (2: (1/3,3) (1/2,4))
 M22 = [0 \ 1/2; \ 0 \ 0]
    stored as (3: (1/2,4))
Note that some blocks have no effect on some vector elements they are
responsible for
  --> M22 has no effect on q_+[3].
  --> M12 has no use for a[3].
    This is quite common, and can be used to speed up.
```