

## Homework 5: Clustering and Classification

---

**Instructions:** Your answers are due at 11:59pm on the due date. You must turn in a pdf through canvas. I recommend using latex (<http://www.cs.utah.edu/~jeffp/teaching/latex/>) for producing the assignment answers. If the answers are too hard to read you will lose points, entire questions may be given a 0 (e.g. **sloppy pictures with your phone's camera are not ok, but very careful ones are**)

Please make sure your name appears at the top of the page.

You may discuss the concepts with your classmates, but write up the answers entirely on your own. **Be sure to show all the work involved in deriving your answers! If you just give a final answer without explanation, you may not receive credit for that question.**

---

1. [40 points] Consider this set of 3 sites:  $S = \{s_1 = (0, 1), s_2 = (3, 2), s_3 = (3, -2)\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ . We will consider the following 5 data points  $X = \{x_1 = (0, 0), x_2 = (7, -1), x_3 = (7, 1), x_4 = (-6, 3), x_5 = (-1, 3)\}$ .

For each of the following points compute the closest site (under Euclidean distance):

- (a)  $\phi_S(x_1) =$
- (b)  $\phi_S(x_2) =$
- (c)  $\phi_S(x_3) =$
- (d)  $\phi_S(x_4) =$
- (e)  $\phi_S(x_5) =$

Now consider that we have 3 Gaussian distributions defined with each site  $s_j$  as a center  $\mu_j$ . The corresponding standard deviations are  $\sigma_1 = 1.0$ ,  $\sigma_2 = 2.0$  and  $\sigma_3 = 4.0$ , and we assume they are univariate so the covariance matrices are  $\Sigma_j = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_j & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_j \end{bmatrix}$ .

- (f) Write out the probability density function (its likelihood  $f_j(x)$ ) for each of the Gaussians.

Now we want to assign each  $x_i$  to each site in a soft assignment. For each site  $s_j$  define the weight of a point as  $w_j(x) = f_j(x) / (\sum_{j=1}^3 f_j(x))$ . For each of the following points calculate the weight for each site

- (g)  $w_1(x_1), w_2(x_1), w_3(x_1) =$
- (h)  $w_1(x_2), w_2(x_2), w_3(x_2) =$
- (i)  $w_1(x_3), w_2(x_3), w_3(x_3) =$
- (j)  $w_1(x_4), w_2(x_4), w_3(x_4) =$
- (k)  $w_1(x_5), w_2(x_5), w_3(x_5) =$

2. **[10 points]** Construct a data set  $X$  with 4 points in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and a set  $S$  of  $k = 2$  sites so that Lloyd's algorithm will have converged, but there is another set  $S'$ , of size  $k = 2$ , so that  $\text{cost}(X, S') < \text{cost}(X, S)$ . [ $\text{cost}(X, S)$  refers to standard sum of squared errors.] Explain why  $S'$  is better than  $S$ , but that Lloyd's algorithm will not move from  $S$ .
3. **[25 points]** Consider a family of linear classifiers defined by the sign of function  $g_{w,b}(x) = \langle w, x \rangle + b$ , where  $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and so  $w \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ . Given a data point  $x_i$  and label  $y_i \in \{-1, +1\}$ . We require that  $\|w\| = 1$ .

Now consider a uncertainty zone misclassification goal  $\Lambda$  (in place of  $\Delta$ ). In this setting, we want to penalize a classifier with a cost of  $1/2$  for any point within a distance of 1 of the classification boundary – even if it has the correct sign. So the cost is

$$\Lambda(g_{w,b}, (x_i, y_i)) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (x_i, y_i) \text{ is misclassified and } |g_{w,b}(x_i)| > 1 \\ 1/2 & \text{if } 0 \leq |g_{w,b}(x_i)| \leq 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } (x_i, y_i) \text{ is classified correctly and } |g_{w,b}(x_i)| > 1 \end{cases}$$

- (a) Explain  $\Lambda(g_{w,b}, (x_i, y_i))$  as a function of  $z_i = y_i g_{w,b}(x_i)$ .
- (b) Design a loss function  $\ell_\Lambda(z)$  as proxy for  $\Lambda(z)$  that is (i) convex, (ii) has a derivative defined for all  $z$ , and (iii) for all values of  $z$  satisfies  $\ell_\Lambda(z) \geq \Lambda(z)$ .
4. **[25 points]**
- (a) Construct and report a set of labeled points  $(X, y)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  that is not linearly separable (provide a plot).
- (b) Explain what will happen if you run the perceptron algorithm for a linear classifier on this data set? (don't allow a fixed upper bound on  $T$  the number of steps)
- (c) Describe another algorithm discussed in the class (Chapters 9.1 - 9.3) which would provide an acceptable linear classifier for this set of points.