
cs5460/6460: Operating Systems

Address translation (Segmentation and Paging)

Anton Burtsev


February, 2024

Two programs one memory





Two programs one memory




• How can we do this?



Relocation


- One way to achieve this is to relocate program at different addresses
- Remember relocation (from linking and loading)

Relocate binaries to work at different addresses



- One way to achieve this is to relocate program at different addresses
 - Remember relocation (from linking and loading)
- This works! But not ideal
- What is the problem?

Relocate binaries to work at different addresses



• What is the problem?

Problem: isolation

• How can we enforce isolation?

Problem: isolation

- How can we enforce isolation?
- Isolation can be enforced in software
- Software Fault Isolation (SFI)
 - Google NaCl (Chrome Sandbox)
 - WASM (Web Assembly, another sandbox standard)

Actually, how?

#include <stdio.h>

```
int main(int ac, char **av)
{
    int a = 5, b = 6;
    return a + b;
}
```

```
00000000 <main>:
   \mathbf{0}:
         55
   1:
       89 e5
   3:
      83 ec 10
      c7 45 f8 05 00 00 00
   6:
   d :
      c7 45 fc 06 00 00
                              \mathbf{0}\mathbf{0}
  14:
      8b 45 fc
  17:
      8b 55 f8
      01 d0
  1a:
  1c:
       c9
  1d:
         c3
```

push ebp ebp,esp mov sub esp,0x10 DWORD PTR [ebp-0x8],0x5 mov DWORD PTR [ebp-0x4],0x6 mov eax,DWORD PTR [ebp-0x4] mov edx,DWORD PTR [ebp-0x8] mov add eax,edx leave ret

PollEv.com/aburtsev

#include <stdio.h>

```
int main(int ac, char **av)
{
    int a = 5, b = 6;
    return a + b;
}
```

```
00000000 <main>:
  \mathbf{0}:
        55
  1:
     89 e5
  3:
     83 ec 10
     c7 45 f8 05 00 00 00
  6:
  d:
     c7 45 fc 06 00 00 00
 14:
     8b 45 fc
 17:
     8b 55 f8
 1a: 01 d0
 1c:
      c9
 1d:
      c3
```

push ebp ebp,esp mov esp,0x10 sub DWORD PTR [ebp-0x8],0x5 mov DWORD PTR [ebp-0x4],0x6 mov eax,DWORD PTR [ebp-0x4] mov edx,DWORD PTR [ebp-0x8] mov add eax,edx leave ret


• Another way is to ask for hardware support

Segmentation

What are we aiming for?


- Illusion of a private address space
- Identical copy of an address space in multiple programs
- Simplifies software architecture
 - One program is not restricted by the memory layout of the others

Two processes, one memory?



Memory

Two processes, one memory?




 We want hardware to add base value to every address used in the program

Seems easy


- One problem
- Where does this base address come from?

Seems easy

- One problem
- Where does this base address come from?
- Hardware can maintain a table of base addresses
 - One base for each process
- Dedicate a special register to keep an index into that table




Segmentation: example



mov (%EBX), EAX # mov value from the location pointed by EBX into EAX


Physical Memory

Segmentation: address consists of two parts




- Segment register contains segment selector
- General registers contain offsets
- Intel calls this address: "logical address"

Segmentation: Global Descriptor Table



- GDT is an array of segment descriptors
- Each descriptor contains base and limit for the segment
- Plus access control flags


Segmentation: Global Descriptor Table



(pointer to the GDT, physical address)

 Location of GDT in physical memory is pointed by the GDT register


Segmentation: base + offset



(pointer to the GDT,

- physical address)
 - Segment register (0x1) chooses an entry in GDT
 - This entry contains base of the segment (0x110000) and limit (size) of the segment (not shown)


Segmentation: base + offset



(pointer to the GDT,

- Physical address) Physical address:
 - 0x410010 = 0x300010 (offset) + 0x110000 (base)
 - Intel calls this address "linear"

Segmentation: process 2




(pointer to the GDT, physical address)

- Each process has a private GDT
- OS switches between GDTs


New addressing mode: "logical addresses"

All addresses are logical address

- They consist of two parts
- Segment selector (16 bit) + offset (32 bit)




- Segment selector (16 bit)
- Is simply an index into an array (Descriptor Table)
- That holds segment descriptors
 - Base and limit (size) for each segment




Elements of the descriptor table are segment descriptors


- Base address
 - 0-4 GB
- Limit (size)
 - 0-4 GB
- Access rights
 - Executable, readable, writable
- Privilege level (0 3)




 Offsets into segments (x in our example) or "effective addresses" are in registers




- Logical addresses are translated into physical
 - Effective address + DescriptorTable[selector].Base




- Logical addresses are translated into physical
 - Effective address + DescriptorTable[selector].Base




- Logical addresses are translated into physical
 - Effective address + DescriptorTable[selector].Base



- Logical addresses are translated into physical
 - Effective address + DescriptorTable[selector].Base



- Physical address = Effective address + DescriptorTable[selector].Base
 - Effective addresses (or offsets) are in registers
 - Selector is in a special register



Segment registers

- Hold 16 bit segment selectors
 - Indexes into GDT
- Segments are associated with one of three types of storage
 - Code
 - Data
 - Stack

Programing with segements (not real):

static int x = 1; int y; // stack if (x) { y = 1; printf ("Boo"); } else

y = 0;

ds:x = 1; // data
ss:y; // stack
if (ds:x) {
 ss:y = 1;
 cs:printf(ds:"Boo");
} else
 ss:y = 0;

Programming model

- Segments for: code, data, stack, "extra"
 - A program can have up to 6 segments
 - Segments identified by registers: cs, ds, ss, es, fs, gs
- Prefix all memory accesses with desired segment:
 - mov eax, ds:0x80 (load offset 0x80 from data into eax)
 - jmp cs:0xab8 (jump execution to code offset 0xab8)
 - mov ss:0x40, ecx (move ecx to stack offset 0x40)

Programming model, cont.


- This is cumbersome,
- Instead the idea is: infer code, data and stack segments from the instruction type
 - Control-flow instructions use code segment (jump, call)
 - Stack management (push/pop) uses stack
 - Most loads/stores use data segment
- Extra segments (es, fs, gs) must be used explicitly

Segmentation: what did we achieve


- Illusion of a private address space
- Identical copy of an address space in multiple programs
 - We can implement fork()
- Isolation
 - Processes cannot access memory outside of their segments

Segmentation works for isolation, i.e., it does provide programs with illusion of private memory


Segmentation is ok... but




What if process needs more memory?




What if process needs more memory?



You can move P2 in memory



Or even swap it out to disk




Problems with segments

- Segments are somewhat inconvenient
 - Relocating or swapping the entire process takes time
- Memory gets fragmented
 - There might be no space (gap) for the swapped out process to come in
 - Will have to swap out other processes

Paging

Pages




Process 2 (ls)





Pages




Paging idea

- Break up memory into 4096-byte chunks called pages
 - Modern hardware supports 2MB, 4MB, and 1GB pages
 - Independently control mapping for each page of linear address space

- Compared with segmentation (single base + limit)
 - Much more flexibility

How can we build this translation mechanism?


Paging: naive approach: translation array



Physical page #12 or 0xc

- Linear address 0x410010
- Remember it's result of logical to linear translation (aka segmentation)
 - 0x410010 = 0x300010 (offset) + 0x110000 (base)

Paging: naive approach: translation array




- Remember it's result of logical to linear translation (aka segmentation)
 - 0x410010 = 0x300010 (offset) + 0x110000 (base)

What is wrong?


What is wrong?

- We need 4 bytes to relocate each page
- 20 bits for physical page number
- 12 bits of access flags
- Therefore, we need array of 4 bytes x 1M entries
 - 4MBs

Paging: naive approach: translation array




Paging: array with size




• The size controls how many entries are required

But still what may go wrong?

Paging: array with size




Paging: array with size




Can we improve?


Paging: array of arrays





Paging: array of arrays





Paging: page table



















Page translation



Page translation



Page directory entry (PDE)

31 30 29 28 27 26 25 24 23 22	21 20 19 18 17 16 15 14 13 12	11 10 9 8 7 6 5	4 3 2 1 0	
Address of	page table	Ignored <u>0</u> g A	P PW U R C T / / 1 D T S W	PDE: page table

• 20 bit address of the page table

Page directory entry (PDE)

31 30 29	28 27 2	6 2	25	24	23	22	21	20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0	
				Add	Ires	s of	рас	je ta	ble			<u> </u>						lgno	ored	ļ	<u>0</u>	l g n	A	P C D	PW T	U / S	R / W	1	PDE: page table

- 20 bit address of the page table
- Wait... 20 bit address, but we need 32 bits


Page directory entry (PDE)

31 3	0 29	28	27	26	25	24	23	22	21	20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0	
						Ado	dres	s of	рас	je ta	ble			<u> </u>						Igno	ored		<u>0</u>	l g n	A	P C D	PW T	U / S	R / W	1	PDE: page table

- 20 bit address of the page table
- Wait... 20 bit address, but we need 32 bits

- Pages 4KB each, we need 1M to cover 4GB
- Pages start at 4KB (page aligned boundary)

Page translation




Page table entry (PTE)

31 30 29 28 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 19 18 17 16 15 14 13 12	11 10 9	8	7	6 5	4	3	2	1	0	
Address of 4KB page frame	Ignored	G	P A T	DA	P C D	PW	U / S	R / W	1	PTE: 4KB page

- 20 bit address of the 4KB page
- Pages 4KB each, we need 1M to cover 4GB


Page translation



Benefit of page tables


- ... Compared to arrays?
- Page tables represent sparse address space more efficiently
- An entire array has to be allocated upfront
- But if the address space uses a handful of pages
- Only page tables (Level 1 and 2 need to be allocated to describe translation)
- On a dense address space this benefit goes away
- I'll assign a homework!

What about isolation?





- Two programs, one memory?
- Each process has its own page table
- OS switches between them




Compared to segments pages allow


- Emulate large virtual address space on a smaller physical memory
- In our example we had only 12 physical pages
- But the program can access all 1M pages in its 4GB address space
- The OS will move other pages to disk


Compared to segments pages allow


- Share a region of memory across multiple programs
- Well... segments allow this too
 - Communication (shared buffer of messages)
 - Shared libraries





Recap: complete address translation

























32bit x86 supports two page sizes

- 4KB pages
- 4MB pages


Page translation for 4MB pages



Page translation for 4MB pages



Page translation in 64bit mode



Questions?

What pages are used for

- Protect parts of the program
- •E.g., map code as read-only
- -Disable code modification attacks
- -Remember R/W bit in PTD/PTE entries!
- •E.g., map stack as non-executable
- -Protects from stack smashing attacks
- -Non-executable bit

Determine a working set of a program?

Determine a working set of a program?Use "accessed" bit

- Determine a working set of a program?
- .Use "accessed" bit
- Iterative copy of a working set?
- .Used for virtual machine migration

- Determine a working set of a program?
- .Use "accessed" bit
- Iterative copy of a working set?
- .Used for virtual machine migration
- Use "dirty" bit

- Determine a working set of a program?
- .Use "accessed" bit
- Iterative copy of a working set?
- .Used for virtual machine migration
- .Use "dirty" bit
- .Copy-on-write memory, e.g. lightweigh fork()?

- Determine a working set of a program?
- .Use "accessed" bit
- Iterative copy of a working set?
- .Used for virtual machine migration
- Use "dirty" bit

TLB

.CPU caches results of page table walks.In translation lookaside buffer (TLB)

Virt	Phys
0xf0231000	0x1000
0x00b31000	0x1f000
0xb0002000	0xc1000
_	_

TLB invalidation

 After every page table update, OS needs to manually invalidate cached values

•Flush TLB

-Either one specific entry

-Or entire TLB, e.g., when CR3 register is loaded

-This happens when OS switches from one process to another

•This is expensive

-Refilling the TLB with new values takes time

Tagged TLBs

- .Modern CPUs have "tagged TLBs",
- Each TLB entry has a "tag" identifier of a process
- No need to flush TLBs on context switch
- •On Intel this mechanism is called

.Process-Cont	ext Identifiers	(PCIDs)

•	Virt	Phys	Tag
	0xf0231000	0x1000	P1
	0x00b31000	0x1f000	P2
	0xb0002000	0xc1000	P1

When would you disable paging?

When would you disable paging?

- Imagine you're running a memcached
- .Key/value cache
- •You serve 1024 byte values (typical) on 10Gbps connection
- .1024 byte packets can leave every 835ns, or 1670 cycles (2GHz machine)
- .This is your target budget per packet

When would you disable paging?

- Now, to cover 32GB RAM with 4K pages
- •You need 64MB space
- 64bit architecture, 4-level page tables (or 5-levels now)
- •Page tables do not fit in L3 cache
- •Modern servers come with 32MB cache
- •Every cache miss results in up to 4 cache misses due to page walk (remember 4-level page tables)
- Each cache miss is 250 cycles
- Solution: 1GB pages

If a page is 4K and an entry is 4 bytes, how many entries per page?

If a page is 4K and an entry is 4 bytes, how many entries per page?

.1k

If a page is 4K and an entry is 4 bytes, how many entries per page?

.1k

•How large of an address space can 1 page represent?

If a page is 4K and an entry is 4 bytes, how many entries per page?

.1k

•How large of an address space can 1 page represent?

.1k entries * 1page/entry * 4K/page = 4MB

- If a page is 4K and an entry is 4 bytes, how many entries per page?
- **.**1k
- •How large of an address space can 1 page represent?
- .1k entries * 1page/entry * 4K/page = 4MB
- .How large can we get with a second level of translation?

If a page is 4K and an entry is 4 bytes, how many entries per page?

- **.**1k
- •How large of an address space can 1 page represent?
- .1k entries * 1page/entry * 4K/page = 4MB
- •How large can we get with a second level of translation?
- .1k tables/dir * 1k entries/table * 4k/page = 4 GB
- •Nice that it works out that way!


Segment descriptors

31	24 23 2	2 21 2	0 19 1	6 15	14 13	12	11 8	7	0	
Base 31:2		D / / / L \ B I	/ Limit	P	D P L	S	Туре	Base 23:16		4
31			10	6 15					0	


Base Address 15:00	Segment Limit 15:00	0
--------------------	---------------------	---

- L 64-bit code segment (IA-32e mode only)
- AVL Available for use by system software
- BASE Segment base address
- D/B Default operation size (0 = 16-bit segment; 1 = 32-bit segment)
- DPL Descriptor privilege level
- G Granularity
- LIMIT Segment Limit
- P Segment present
- S Descriptor type (0 = system; 1 = code or data)
- TYPE Segment type

Page translation



Page translation



31 30 29 28 27 26 25 24 23 22	21 20 19 18 17 16 15 14 13 12	11 10 9 8	7 6 5	4 3 2 1	0
Address of	page table	Ignored	0 g A	P PW U R C T / / D T S W	PDE: page table

.20 bit address of the page table

31 30 29 28 27 26 25 24 23 22	21 20 19 18 17 16 15 14 13 12	11 10 9 8 7 6 5	4 3 2 1 0	
Address of	page table	Ignored <u>0</u> g A	P PW U R C T / / 1 D T S W	PDE: page table

.20 bit address of the page table

.Wait... 20 bit address, but we need 32 bits

31 30 29 28 27 26 2	25 24 23 22 21 20 19 18 17 16 15	14 13 12 11 10 9 8	3 7 6 5	4 3 2 1	0
	Address of page table	Ignored	0 g A	P PW U R C T / D T S W	1 PDE: page table

.20 bit address of the page table

.Wait... 20 bit address, but we need 32 bits

Pages 4KB each, we need 1M to cover 4GBPages start at 4KB (page aligned boundary)

31 30 29 28 27 26 25 24 23 22	21 20 19 18 17 16 15 14 13 12	11 10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1	0
Address of	page table	Ignored <u>0</u> g	A C PW U R C T S W	1 PDE: page table

- •Bit #1: R/W writes allowed?
- •But allowed where?

The picture can't be displayed.												
Address of	page table	<u> </u>	Ignored	<u>0</u>	l g n	A	P C D	PW T	U / S	R / W	1	PDE: page table

- •Bit #1: R/W writes allowed?
- But allowed where?

 One page directory entry controls 1024 Level 2 page tables


- -Each Level 2 maps 4KB page
- .So it's a region of $4KB \times 1024 = 4MB$

31 30 29 28 27 26 25 24 23 22	21 20 19 18 17 16 15 14 13 12	11 10 9 8	7 6 5	4 3 2 1	0
Address of	page table	Ignored	0 g A	P PW U R C T / / D T S W	1 PDE: page table

- •Bit #2: U/S user/supervisor
- If 0 user-mode access is not allowed

Allows protecting kernel memory from user-level applications

Page translation




Page table entry (PTE)

31 30 29 28 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 19 18 17 16 15 14 13 12	11 10 9	8	7	6	5 4	4 3	2	1	0	
Address of 4KB page frame	Ignored	G	P A T	D	A (/ U / S	R / W	1	PTE: 4KB page

- .20 bit address of the 4KB page
- .Pages 4KB each, we need 1M to cover 4GB
- •Bit #1: R/W writes allowed?
- •To a 4KB page
- .Bit #2: U/S user/supervisor
- .If 0 user-mode access is not allowed
- •Bit #5: A accessed
- •Bit #6: D dirty software has written to this page

Page translation



Questions?