

# Testing

`https://www.sqlite.org/testing.html`

As of version 3.33.0 (2020-08-14), the SQLite library consists of approximately 143.4 KSLOC of C code. [...] By comparison, the project has 640 times as much test code and test scripts [...]

# Testing

<https://www.sqlite.org/testing.html>

- Four independently developed test harnesses
- 100% branch test coverage in an as-deployed configuration
- Millions and millions of test cases
- Out-of-memory tests
- I/O error tests
- Crash and power loss tests
- Fuzz tests
- Boundary value tests
- Disabled optimization tests
- Regression tests
- Malformed database tests
- Extensive use of assert() and run-time checks
- Valgrind analysis
- Undefined behavior checks
- Checklists

# Testing

<https://www.sqlite.org/testing.html>

- Four independently developed test harnesses
- 100% branch test coverage in an as-deployed configuration
- Millions and millions of test cases
- Out-of-memory tests
- I/O error tests
- Crash and power loss tests
- Fuzz tests
- Boundary value tests
- Disabled optimization tests
- Regression tests
- Malformed database tests
- Extensive use of assert() and run-time checks
- Valgrind analysis
- Undefined behavior checks
- Checklists

# Test Generation

Unit tests cover what you thought might go wrong

**Generated** tests can find what you didn't think about

# Test Generation

Test generation needs:

- a way to generate inputs
- a driver to send the inputs and receive outputs
- a way to decide whether the output was good

# Test Generation

Test generation needs:

- a way to generate inputs
- a driver to send the inputs and receive outputs
- a way to decide whether the output was good

**oracle**

# Test Generation

Test generation needs:

- a way to generate inputs
- a driver to send the inputs and receive outputs
- a way to decide whether the output was good

Using another implementation:  
***differential testing***

# Fuzzing

**Fuzzing** refers to

- sending randomly generated inputs
  - typically text
- minimal result validation
  - typically: did the program crash?



# Fuzzing

**Fuzzing** refers to

- sending randomly generated inputs
  - typically text
- minimal result validation
  - typically: did the program crash?

```
// Makes a string of up to 31 random bytes
static std::string random_bytes() {
    std::string word = "";
    for (int i = rand() % 32; i-- > 0; )
        word += rand() % 256;
    return word;
}
```

# Fuzzing

**Fuzzing** refers to

- sending randomly generated inputs
  - typically text
- minimal result validation
  - typically: did the program crash?

```
// Makes a string of up to 31 random bytes
static std::string random_bytes() {
    std::string word = "";
    for (int i = rand() % 32; i-- > 0; )
        word += rand() % 256;
    return word;
}
```

returns a “random” int

# Fuzzing

**Fuzzing** refers to

- sending randomly generated inputs
  - typically text
- minimal result validation
  - typically: did the program crash?

```
// Makes a string of up to 31 random bytes
static std::string random_bytes() {
    std::string word = "";
    for (int i = rand() % 32; i-- > 0; )
        word += rand() % 256;
```

use something like `srand(clock())` to generate varying values

# Fuzzing

**Fuzzing** refers to

- sending randomly generated inputs
  - typically text
- minimal result validation
  - typically: did the program crash?

```
// Makes a string of up to 31 random bytes
static std::string random_bytes() {
    std::string word = "";
    for (int i = rand() % 32; i-- > 0; )
        word += rand() % 256;
    return word;
}
```

a number from 0 to 31

# Fuzzing

**Fuzzing** refers to

- sending randomly generated inputs
  - typically text
- minimal result validation
  - typically: did the program crash?

```
// Makes a string of up to 31 random bytes
static std::string random_bytes() {
    std::string word = "";
    for (int i = rand() % 32; i-- > 0; )
        word += rand() % 256;
    return word;
}
```

a number from 0 to 255

# Fuzzing

**Fuzzing** refers to

- sending randomly generated inputs
  - typically text
- minimal result validation
  - typically: did the program crash?

Fuzzing is a good idea for testing parsers, but just generating random strings is unlikely to generate many interesting MSDscript expressions

## Generating Expressions

```
⟨expr⟩ = ⟨number⟩  
| ( ⟨expr⟩ )  
| ⟨expr⟩ + ⟨expr⟩  
| ⟨expr⟩ * ⟨expr⟩  
| ⟨variable⟩  
| _let ⟨variable⟩ = ⟨expr⟩ _in ⟨expr⟩
```

Possible strategy:

- randomly pick a case
- for ⟨number⟩, randomly pick one
- for ⟨variable⟩, randomly generate one
- for others, recur for nested ⟨expr⟩

## Generating Expressions

$\langle \text{expr} \rangle$  =  $\langle \text{number} \rangle$   
|  $( \langle \text{expr} \rangle )$   
|  $\langle \text{expr} \rangle + \langle \text{expr} \rangle$   
|  $\langle \text{expr} \rangle * \langle \text{expr} \rangle$   
|  $\langle \text{variable} \rangle$   
|  $\text{\_let } \langle \text{variable} \rangle = \langle \text{expr} \rangle \text{\_in } \langle \text{expr} \rangle$

Possible strategy:

- randomly pick a case
- for  $\langle \text{number} \rangle$ , randomly pick one
- for  $\langle \text{variable} \rangle$ , randomly generate one
- for others, recur for nested  $\langle \text{expr} \rangle$

Likely to generate bound variables?



## Generating Expressions

```
<expr> = <number>  
| ( <expr> )  
| <expr> + <expr>  
| <expr> * <expr>  
| <variable>  
| _let <variable> = <expr> _in <expr>
```

Generate **Expr** values or strings?

By generating strings, we can make the test generator more separate from the code it's trying to test

# Simple Generator

First try — just generate numbers

```
std::string random_expr_string() {  
    return std::to_string(rand());  
}
```

Could check:

- `--interp` mode prints the same number
- `--print` mode prints the same number
- `--pretty-print` mode prints the same number
- exit code is always 0

## Simple Generator

```
std::string random_expr_string() {  
    if ((rand() % 10) < 6)  
        return std::to_string(rand());  
    else  
        return random_expr_string() + "+" + random_expr_string();  
}
```

## Simple Generator

```
std::string random_expr_string() {  
    if ((rand() % 10) < 6)  
        return std::to_string(rand());  
    else  
        return random_expr_string() + "+" + random_expr_string();  
}
```

60% of the time

## Simple Generator

```
std::string random_expr_string() {  
    if ((rand() % 10) < 6)  
        return std::to_string(rand());  
    else  
        return random_expr_string() + "+" + random_expr_string();  
}
```

60% of the time

40% of the time

Even without tracking the expected sum, but could check:

- **--interp** mode prints *some* number
- **--print** mode prints some expression that interps to the same number
- **--pretty-print** mode prints some expression that interps to the same number and pretty-prints exactly the same
- exit code is always 0

## Trying Generated Expressions

Overall:

- generate an expression string
- send string as input to `msdscript`
- check `msdscript` output and exit code

Inside the `msdscript` implementation, we take control of input and output using `std::istream&` and `std::ostream&` arguments

From the outside, we need a way to run a program, send it input to `std::cin`, and capture its output to `std::cout`

# Test-Runner Helper

Provided by `exec.cpp`:

```
ExecResult exec_program(int argc, char **argv, std::string in);

class ExecResult {
public:
    int exit_code;
    std::string out;
    std::string err;
};
```

# Test-Runner Helper

Provided by `exec.cpp`:

`argv[0]` is the program to run

```
ExecResult exec_program(int argc, char **argv, std::string in);
```

```
class ExecResult {  
public:  
    int exit_code;  
    std::string out;  
    std::string err;  
};
```



# Test-Runner Helper

Provided by `exec.cpp`:

```
ExecResult exec_program(int argc, char **argv, std::string in);
```

```
class ExecResult {  
public:  
    int exit_code;  
    std::string out;  
    std::string err;  
};
```

one string as input

# Test-Runner Helper

Provided by `exec.cpp`:

```
ExecResult exec_program(int argc, char **argv, std::string in);
```

```
class ExecResult {  
public:
```

```
    int exit_code;  
    std::string out;  
    std::string err;
```

```
};
```

two strings as output  
but either might be empty

one string as input

## Test-Runner Helper

```
const char * const wc_argv[] = { "/usr/bin/wc", "-w" };

ExecResult wc_result = exec_program(2, wc_argv, "a b c");

if (wc_result.exit_code != 0)
    std::cerr << "non-zero exit: " << wc_result.exit_code << "\n";

if (wc_result.out != "      3\n")
    std::cerr << "bad wc result\n";
```

## Another Simple Test Driver

```
int main(int argc, char **argv) {
    const char * const interp_argv[] = { "msdscript", "--interp" };
    const char * const print_argv[] = { "msdscript", "--print" };

    for (int i = 0; i < 100; i++) {
        std::string in = random_expr_string();
        std::cout << "Trying " << in << "\n";

        ExecResult interp_result = exec_program(2, interp_argv, in);
        ExecResult print_result = exec_program(2, print_argv, in);

        ExecResult interp_again_result = exec_program(2, interp_argv, print_result.out);
        if (interp_again_result.out != interp_result.out)
            throw std::runtime_error("different result for printed");
    }

    return 0;
}
```

## Simple Test Driver

```
int main(int argc, char **argv) {
    const char * const interp1_argv[] = { "msdscript", "--interp" };
    const char * const interp2_argv[] = { "msdscript2", "--interp" };

    for (int i = 0; i < 100; i++) {
        std::string in = random_expr_string();
        std::cout << "Trying " << in << "\n";

        ExecResult interp1_result = exec_program(2, interp1_argv, in);
        ExecResult interp2_result = exec_program(2, interp2_argv, in);

        if (interp1_result.out != interp2_result.out)
            throw std::runtime_error("different results");
    }

    return 0;
}
```